

Field Application with Biocontrol Agents for Controlling Root-rot Disease of Grapevine (*Vitis vinifera* L.)

Abou-Elaid, H., El-Naggar, M. A., Abdel-Kareem, F., El-Deeb, H.M. and El-Shahawy, I.E

Plant Pathology Department, National Research Centre, Cairo, Egypt.

ABSTRACT

Grapevine plants, grown under field conditions, highly infected with root-rot disease caused by the soilborne pathogens, *i.e.* *Fusarium solani*; *M. phaseolina* and *R. solani*. The *in vitro* experiments revealed that biocontrol agents of *T. harzianum*, *T. viride* and *B. subtilis*, caused the highest reduction (%) in mycelial growth of the three pathogenic fungi. Field application with *T. harzianum*, *T. viride* and *B. subtilis* for controlling root-rot disease of grapevine (cv. Thompson seedless) were investigated. Three applicable methods were conducted, *i.e.* soil drench treatments in root region (75 cm away from the trunk and 30 cm depth), soil drench treatments in trunk region around the main stem and a combination treatment. Obtained data indicate that the combination treatment of *B. subtilis* highly reduced grapevine root-rot percentage, severity and recorded higher of yield components than single treatment. The treatment of *T. harzianum* was significantly ranked the second, while *T. viride* occupied significantly the third one. Obtained data recommended that the combination treatment by releasing the biocontrol agents in root region plus in trunk region could be considered as a promising technique for field application to control grapevine root-rot disease.

Key words: Grapevine root-rot, biological control, field application, *T. harzianum*, *T. viride* and *B. subtilis*.

Introduction

Grapevine (*Vitis vinifera* L.) is one of the most widely distributed fruit crop in the world yielding berries, wine products as well as derivatives. In Egypt, grapevine ranks the second after citrus among fruit crops. Soilborne fungal diseases are among the most important factors limiting the yield production of grapevine, resulting in serious economic losses. Several soil-pathogens including *Fusarium solani* (Mart.) App. & Wr.; *Macrophomina phaseolina* (Maulb) Ashby and *Rhizoctonia solani* Kühn attack the roots of grapevine (Marais 1979; Walker, 1992; Gugino *et al.* 2001; Gubler *et al.* 2004; Ziedan, 2005 and Ziedan *et al.* 2010 and 2011). Some chemicals are effective in controlling these diseases but these chemicals are expensive and not environmental friendly. Therefore, alternative control methods are needed for managing these pathogens. Biological control is a strategy that was proposed half a century ago. The application of biological control using antagonistic microorganisms *i.e.*, *Trichoderma harzianum*, *T. viride* and *Bacillus subtilis*, proved to be successful for controlling various soilborne plant diseases in many countries (Leifert *et al.*, 1995; Asaka and Shoda 1996; Pinchuk *et al.*, 2002; Benitez *et al.*, 2004; Singh *et al.*, 2008; Tran, 2010; Killani *et al.*, 2011; Abdel-Kader *et al.*, 2012 and Compant *et al.*, 2013). The objective of this study was to investigate the efficacy of *T. harzianum*, *T. viride* and *B. subtilis* applications for controlling root-rot disease of grapevine under field conditions.

Materials and Methods

In vitro experiments

Grapevine root-rot pathogens:

Fusarium solani; *Macrophomina phaseolina* and *Rhizoctonia solani*, which considered the most virulent pathogens causing root-rot disease of grapevine Ziedan (2003), were obtained from Plant Pathol. Dept., National Research center (NRC).

Biocontrol agents:

The antagonistic strains of *T. harzianum*, *T. viride* and *B. subtilis* was obtained from Plant Pathol. Dept., NRC. The strains were previously isolated from grapevine rhizosphere.

Antagonistic potential of biocontrol agents:

The antagonistic activity of *T. harzianum* and *T. viride* isolates against *F. solani*, *M. phaseolina* and *R. solani* was studied via the dual culture technique using the method described by Amel *et al.* (2007). The method

consists of placing an active mycelial disc (5-mm in diameter) of the pathogen, 1cm from the edge of a 9-cm-diameter Petri plate containing freshly prepared PDA medium. Another disc (5-mm in diameter) of the antagonist fungus was deposited in a diametrically opposed position 1cm away from the other set of the plate. For untreated plates, an agar disc (5-mm in diameter) of pathogenic fungi only was placed at 1cm from the edge of a 9-cm-diameter Petri plate containing freshly prepared PDA medium.

The bacterial isolate of *B. subtilis* was also screened for their antagonistic ability against cucumber root-rot pathogens *in vitro* via the dual culture technique using the method described by Estrella *et al.* (2001). Therefore, the bacterial isolate was cultured (by streaking) at 1cm from the edge of a 9-cm diameter Petri plate containing freshly prepared PDA medium. On the opposed position 1cm away from the other set of the plate a 5-mm plug from the leading edge of a 5-days old culture of *F. solani*, *M. phaseolina* and *R. solani*, cultured on PDA medium were inoculated individually. For untreated plates, an agar disc (5-mm in diameter) of pathogenic fungi only was placed at 1cm from the edge of a 9-cm diameter Petri plate containing freshly prepared PDA medium.

Five plates were used as replicates for each treatment as well as the control. Inoculated plates were incubated at $25 \pm 1^\circ\text{C}$ until the fungal growth of the control plates reached the edge of the plate.

The reduction in mycelial growth of the pathogenic fungi was calculated using the formula suggested by Fokemma (1973) and Pandey *et al.* (2000) as follows: $R = C - T / C \times 100$, whereas: R = Mycelial growth reduction (%) of the pathogen, C = Radial growth of the pathogen in control plates (cm) and T = Radial growth of the pathogen in dual culture plate (cm).

Field experiments

Biocontrol agents inocula:

T. harzianum and *T. viride* was grown on PDA medium at ($25 \pm 1^\circ\text{C}$) for 10 days, afterwards the mycelium with the spores was scraped from Petri plate and mixed with sterilized distilled water (20 ml / plate) in a blender. The suspension was adjusted by hemocytometer slide to 3×10^6 propagules / ml as described by (Morsy *et al.*, 2009). On the other hand, one loopful of *B. subtilis* isolate was inoculated into Nutrient Broth medium (g/l): peptone 5 g, beef extract 3 g, sodium chloride 5 g, glucose 20 g, pH 7; and incubated on a shaker incubator (125 rpm) at $28 \pm 1^\circ\text{C}$. Antagonistic bacterial cells were then harvested after 48 hours of growth in culture medium by centrifugation at 6,000 rpm for 15 min and resuspended in a phosphate buffer (0.01 M, pH 7.0). The concentration was adjusted by plate count technique to an approximately 3×10^7 colony forming unit CFU / ml as mentioned by Morsy *et al.* (2009).

Application of biocontrol agents:

Field experiments were carried out at Taba vineyard (El-Sadat city, El-Monofia Governorate, Egypt), which have been seriously destroyed by root-rot pathogens. Grapevine orchard 5 years old (cv. Thompson seedless) showing different grades of root-rot symptoms on shoot system were selected in an orchard of 5 feddan. Thirty diseased grapevine trees showed different degrees of disease severity 1, 2 and 3 grades were selected for each treatments as well as untreated one (control). Soil drench treatment in root region (75 cm away from the trunk and 30 cm depth) at the four dimensions of the diseased tree were applied individually with 400 ml inoculum/tree of each of *T. harzianum*, *T. viride* and *B. subtilis* for three application during March, April and May. Soil drench treatments in the trunk region (closely around the main stem of diseased tree) were applied individually with 200 ml inoculum/tree of each of *T. harzianum*, *T. viride* and *B. subtilis* for three application during March, April and May. The combination treatment of soil drench in root region + soil drench in the trunk region were also done. Another groups of thirty trees with the same degrees of disease severity were left without treatment as a control. All treatments were repeated two seasons. The treatments can be summarized as follows:

- 1-Soil drench with *T. harzianum* in root region.
- 2-Soil drench with *T. viride* in root region.
- 3-Soil drench with *B. subtilis* in root region.
- 4-Soil drench with *T. harzianum* in trunk region.
- 5-Soil drench with *T. viride* in trunk region.
- 6-Soil drench with *B. subtilis* in trunk region.
- 7-Soil drench with *T. harzianum* in root region + trunk region.
- 8-Soil drench with *T. viride* in root region + in trunk region.
- 9-Soil drench with *B. subtilis* in root region + in trunk region.
- 10- Control.

Root-rot incidence and severity of grapevine:

Root-rot disease incidence and disease severity were registered 4 months after the first treatment with different biocontrol agents according to the disease grades suggested by Ziedan (2003). Disease severity on foliage systems was as follows: 0 = healthy, 1 = yellowish +1/3 plant wilted, 2 = 2/3 plant wilted, 3 = whole plant wilted and 4 = plant dead showed sever wilt.

Assessment of grapevine yield:

At the harvest time, grapevine fruit yield was determined in terms of number of clusters/ tree, average weight of cluster, fruit yield (kg/ tree and ton/feddan) for each treatment as well as the control.

Statistical analysis:

Statistical analyses of all the previously designed experiments were carried out according to (ANOVA) procedures reported by Snedecor and Cochran (1982). Treatment means were compared by the least significant difference test "LSD" at 5% level of probability.

Results*Antagonistic potential of biocontrol agents against grapevine root-rot pathogens:*

Data presented in Table (1) indicated that all biocontrol agent isolates showed antagonistic activity against mycelial growth of root-rot pathogens. Among of them, the bacterial isolate *B. subtilis* and *T. harzianum* caused the highest reduction (%) in mycelial growth reached to 77.6 & 75.6; 83.3 & 82.2% and 86.2 & 84.4% against *F. solani*, *R. solan* and *M. phaseolina*, respectively. Data also indicated that *T. viride* isolate showed moderate reduction (%) in mycelial growth reached to 66.7; 72.2 and 75.6 % against *F. solani*, *R. solan* and *M. phaseolina*, respectively.

Table 1. The antagonistic effect of biocontrol agents isolates against the mycelial growth of the pathogenic fungi.

| Biocontrol agent | Linear mycelial growth (mm) and reduction (%) of | | | | | |
|---------------------|--------------------------------------------------|---------------|------------------|---------------|----------------------|---------------|
| | <i>F. solani</i> | | <i>R. solani</i> | | <i>M. phaseolina</i> | |
| | Linear growth | Reduction (%) | Linear growth | Reduction (%) | Linear growth | Reduction (%) |
| <i>T. harzianum</i> | 22.0 c | 75.6 | 16.0 c | 82.2 | 14.0 c | 84.4 |
| <i>T. viride</i> | 30.0 b | 66.7 | 25.0b | 72.2 | 22.0 b | 75.6 |
| <i>B. subtilis</i> | 20.2 c | 77.6 | 15.0 c | 83.3 | 12.4 c | 86.2 |
| Control | 90.0 a | - | 90.0a | - | 90.0 a | - |

Effect of biocontrol agents application on root-rot of grapevine:

Effect of soil drench in root region, soil drench in trunk region and the combination of the two treatments with biocontrol agents on the percentage and severity of grapevine root-rot are shown in Table (2). Data show that the most effective treatment is *B. subtilis* followed by the treatment of *T. harzianum* , when applied individually as soil + trunk treatments, which reduced the disease incidence and severity by (83.8 ; 81.3 & 76.4 & 69.6%) and (90%), during the two growing seasons, respectively. Meanwhile, the treatment of *T. viride* had moderate effect.

It is evident that the combination treatment of soil drench in root region + soil drench in trunk region with any of the desired biocontrol agents significantly reduced root-rot percentage and disease severity of grapevine than in the untreated plant (control) followed by soil drench in root region of grapevine only and soil drench in trunk region of grapevine only, respectively. It is evident also that *B. subtilis* treatment caused the highest reduction in grapevine root-rot percentage and severity on shoot system, followed by the treatment with each of *T. harzianum* and *T. viride*, respectively.

Effect of biocontrol agents application on grapevine yield:

Effect of biocontrol agents application on grapevine yield are shown in Table (3). Data revealed that all biocontrol agents treatments significantly improved grapevine yield compared with the untreated trees (control). The highest increase in yield was obtained with *B. subtilis* when applied as soil + trunk treatments which increased the yield by 150.0 and 132.4 % during two growing seasons respectively. Followed by *T. harzianum* when applied as soil + trunk treatments which increased the yield by 113.9 and 138.2 % during two growing seasons respectively. Meanwhile, the treatment of *T. viride* had moderate effect.

Table 2. Effect of *T. harzianum*, *T. viride* and *B. subtilis* on root-rot disease incidence and severity of grapevine (cv. Thompson seedless) grown under natural infection conditions.

| Biocontrol agent | Application technique | Grapevine root-rot | | | |
|---------------------|-----------------------|--------------------|-------------|---------------------|-------------|
| | | Disease incidence | Reduction % | Disease severity*** | Reduction % |
| First season | | | | | |
| <i>T. harzianum</i> | Soil region* | 17.5 e | 72.8 | 1.2 | 70.0 |
| | Trunk region** | 25.3 c | 60.7 | 1.0 | 75.0 |
| | Soil + Trunk | 15.2 f | 76.4 | 0.4 | 90.0 |
| <i>T. viride</i> | Soil region | 21.1 d | 67.2 | 2.0 | 50.0 |
| | Trunk region | 29.2 b | 54.6 | 2.0 | 50.0 |
| | Soil + Trunk | 20.7 d | 67.8 | 1.5 | 62.5 |
| <i>B. subtilis</i> | Soil region | 13.1 g | 79.6 | 0.7 | 82.5 |
| | Trunk region | 21.6 d | 66.4 | 1.3 | 67.5 |
| | Soil + Trunk | 10.4 h | 83.8 | 0.4 | 90.0 |
| Control | — | 64.3 a | — | 4.0 | — |
| Second season | | | | | |
| <i>T. harzianum</i> | Soil region | 20.0 f | 64.4 | 1.0 | 75.0 |
| | Trunk region | 27.0 c | 52.0 | 1.0 | 75.0 |
| | Soil + Trunk | 17.1 g | 69.6 | 0.4 | 90.0 |
| <i>T. viride</i> | Soil region | 21.5 d | 61.7 | 2.0 | 50.0 |
| | Trunk region | 30.6 b | 45.6 | 2.0 | 50.0 |
| | Soil + Trunk | 19.5 e | 65.3 | 1.0 | 75.0 |
| <i>B. subtilis</i> | Soil region | 12.5 h | 77.8 | 0.6 | 85.0 |
| | Trunk region | 19.3 e | 65.7 | 1.0 | 75.0 |
| | Soil + Trunk | 10.5 i | 81.3 | 0.4 | 90.0 |
| Control | — | 56.2 a | — | 4.0 | — |

Means designated with the same letter in the same column are not significantly different at 0.05 level of probability.

* Soil drench treatments in root region (75 cm away from the trunk and 30 cm depth)

** Soil drench treatments in trunk region were applied closely around the main stem and

*** Root-rot disease severity was determined on shoot system of grape plant according to (Ziedan, 2003) as follows: 0= healthy, 1= yellowish + 1/3 plant wilted, 2= 2/3 plant wilted, 3= whole plant wilted and 4= plant dead showed sever wilt.

Table 3. Effect of *T. harzianum*, *T. viride* and *B. subtilis* applications on clusters parameters and yield of grapevine (cv. Thompson seedless) grown under natural infection conditions.

| seedless/ grown under natural infection conditions. | | | | | | |
|-----------------------------------------------------|-----------------------|---------------------|------------|-----------------|-------------|------------|
| Biocontrol agent | Application technique | Clusters parameters | | Grapevine yield | | |
| | | No. / tree | Weight (g) | Kg / tree | Ton/ feddan | Increase % |
| First season | | | | | | |
| <i>T. harzianum</i> | Soil region* | 6.80 c | 853.0 h | 5.7 d | 4.5 f | 25.0 |
| | Trunk region** | 5.90 d | 945.0 d | 5.6 d | 4.5 d | 25.0 |
| | Soil + Trunk | 8.50 b | 1125.0 a | 9.7 b | 7.7 b | 113.9 |
| <i>T. viride</i> | Soil region* | 5.70 d | 920.0 f | 5.4 f | 4.2 h | 16.7 |
| | Trunk region** | 5.20 d | 992.0 b | 5.2 g | 4.1 h | 13.9 |
| | Soil + Trunk | 7.50 b | 985.0 c | 7.4 c | 5.9 c | 63.9 |
| <i>B. subtilis</i> | Soil region* | 6.50 c | 850.0 g | 5.5 e | 4.4 g | 22.2 |
| | Trunk region** | 6.20 c | 935.0 e | 5.8 d | 4.6 c | 27.8 |
| | Soil + Trunk | 10.0 a | 1120.0 a | 11.2 a | 9.0 a | 150.0 |
| Control | - | 6.50 c | 750.0 i | 4.5 h | 3.6 i | |
| Second season | | | | | | |
| <i>T. harzianum</i> | Soil region* | 7.00 c | 820.0 d | 5.7 d | 4.6 d | 35.3 |
| | Trunk region** | 6.20 c | 965.0 c | 6.0 d | 4.8 d | 41.2 |
| | Soil + Trunk | 9.00 b | 1130.0 b | 10.2 b | 8.1 b | 138.2 |
| <i>T. viride</i> | Soil region* | 6.10 d | 810.0 d | 4.9 e | 4.0 d | 17.6 |
| | Trunk region** | 5.80 d | 935.0 c | 5.4 d | 4.3 c | 26.5 |
| | Soil + Trunk | 8.30 b | 1100.0 b | 8.1 c | 6.5 c | 91.2 |
| <i>B. subtilis</i> | Soil region* | 6.30 d | 865.0 d | 5.5 d | 4.4 d | 29.4 |
| | Trunk region** | 6.10 d | 950.0 c | 5.8 d | 4.6 d | 35.3 |
| | Soil + Trunk | 10.5 a | 1155.0 a | 12.1 a | 9.7 a | 132.4 |
| Control | - | 6.70 d | 735.0 f | 4.9 e | 3.4 e | |

Means designated with the same letter in the same column are not significantly different at 0.05 level of probability. * Soil drench treatments in root region (75 cm away from the trunk and 30 cm depth); ** Soil drench treatments in trunk region were applied closely around the main stem.

The combination treatments of soil drench in root region + soil drench in trunk region with each of *B. subtilis* and/or *T. harzianum* gave significantly the highest clusters parameters and grapevine yield, where the No. of cluster / tree; cluster weight (gm); yield/tree (kg) and yield/feddan reached to 10.0 & 10.5 and 8.50 & 9.00; 1120.0 & 1155.0 g and 1125.0 & 1130.0g; 11.0 & 12.13 and 9.675 & 10.17 kg and 8.960 & 9.702 and 7.740 & 8.135 ton/fed., during the two growing seasons, respectively. The combination treatments of soil drench in root region + soil drench in trunk region with *T. viride* occupied significantly the second degree in the

enhancement of clusters parameters and grapevine yield. It was observed also that the combination treatment of soil drench in root region + soil drench in trunk region with the desired biocontrol agents was significantly the best treatments in enhancement of clusters parameters and grapevine yield followed by soil drench in root region of grapevine only and soil drench in trunk region of grapevine only, respectively.

Discussion

Grapevine plants, grown under field conditions, highly infected with root-rot disease. Omer, 1999; Ziedan, 2003; Ziedan *et al.* (2005); Ziedan and El-Mohamedy (2008) and Ziedan *et al.* (2010 and 2011) reported that *Fusarium solani* (Mart.) App. & Wr.; *Macrophomina phaseolina* (Maubl) Ashby and *Rhizoctonia solani* Kühn, are the main pathogens causing root-rot of grapevine.

Results of the present study *in vitro*, revealed that different biocontrol agents isolated from grapevine rhizosphere, *i.e.* *B. subtilis*, *T. harzianum* and *T. viride* showed antagonistic potential against root-rot pathogens. These results are in agreement with those recorded by Scherwinski *et al.* (2008), who reported that biocontrol strains can be isolated from rhizospheric soil. The rhizosphere is a region populated by several beneficial microorganisms and is thought to be a region of first line of defense for roots against attack by pathogenic fungi. In this regards, Chakraborty and Chatterjee (2007) found that *T. harzianum* strains produces chitinase protein which showed clear hyphal lysis in *in vitro* observations. Also, *B. subtilis* showed inhibition zones against *R. solani*, *Colletotrichum truncatum*, *S. sclerotium*, *M. phaseolina*, *Phomopsis* spp., *P. aphanidermatum*, *F. verticilloides*, *F. equiseti*, *F. solani*, *F. oxysporum* and *F. oxysporum* f.sp. *lycopersici* under *in vitro* conditions (Devi *et al.*, 2011 and Vethavalli & Sudha 2012).

The present study showed that field application with each of *T. harzianum*, *T. viride* and *B. subtilis* reduced the percentage and severity of grapevine root-rot. The combination technique of soil drench in root region + soil drench in trunk region showed more management efficacy than the individual treatment. This may be due to the high inoculum of the desired biocontrol agent in the root region resulting in a reduction in invaded grapevine roots with root-rot pathogens. The inhibitory effect of biocontrol agents might be related mainly to the antagonistic properties, which involve parasitism and lysis of pathogenic fungi and/or competition for limiting factors in the rhizosphere, mainly iron and carbon (Velazhahan *et al.*, 1999 and Wahyudi *et al.*, 2011). Recently however, another possible mechanism has been suggested by Baraka *et al.* (2012) namely, induced resistance in plants to soilborne fungal attack.

Singh *et al.* (2008) and Vethavalli & Sudha (2012) reported that *Bacillus subtilis* isolates exhibited strong antagonistic activity against *M. phaseolina* and other phytopathogens including *F. solani* and *R. solani*. Archana *et al.* (2010) recorded that 38% of *B. subtilis* isolates showed competitive activity against *Fusarium oxysporum*. On the other hand, Chakraborty and Chatterjee (2007) and Tran (2010) reported that *T. viride*, *T. harzianum*, *T. hamatum* had ability to suppress growth of fungal plant pathogens including: *M. phaseolina*, *F. solani* and *R. solani* on several crops.

In the present study, field application with each of *T. harzianum*, *T. viride* and *B. subtilis* promote clusters parameters and grapevine yield. Growth promotion resulted by the biocontrol agents isolates may be due to their PGPB effect. Plant growth-promoting bacteria (PGPB) are defined as free-living soil, rhizosphere, rhizoplane, and phyllosphere bacteria that are beneficial for plants under some conditions. PGPB promote plant growth in two different ways. First, they directly affect the metabolism of the plants by providing substances that are usually in short supply. These bacteria are capable of fixing atmospheric nitrogen or solubilizing phosphorus and iron and producing plant hormones such as auxins, gibberellins, cytokinins, indole acetic acid (IAA) and ethylene. Additionally, they improve the stress tolerance in plants such as drought, high salinity, metal toxicity, and pesticide load. A second group of PGPB, referred to biocontrol PGPB, indirectly promote plant growth by preventing the deleterious effects of phytopathogenic microorganisms. They produce substances (siderophores, β -1,3-glucanases, chitinases, antibiotics and cyanide) that harm or inhibit other microbes but not plants, by limiting the availability of iron to pathogens (production of siderophores) or by altering the metabolism of the host plant to increase its resistance to pathogen infection (Khalid *et al.*, 2004). Fungal biocontrol agents enhanced the plant growth parameters by plant growth-promoting (PGPF) effects. *Trichoderma* spp. influence plant growth through numerous mechanisms, which mainly include enhancing the solubilization of soil nutrients (Kapri and Tewari 2010), increasing root length and number of root hairs to explore larger spaces of soil to absorb nutrients (Samolski *et al.*, 2012) and improving the production of plant stimulatory compounds, such as growth hormones, *i.e.* indole acetic acid, cytokinin, gibberellins, and zeatin (Contreras *et al.*, 2009). Promotion of plant growth by *Trichoderma* spp. may also result from their secretion of antifungal substances, such as iron chelators (siderophore) and hydrogen cyanide (Ushamailini *et al.*, 2008), which can protect plants from infection by phytopathogens.

References

- Abdel-Kader, M. M., S. El-Mougy, Nehal, M. D. Aly and S. M. Lashin, 2012. Different approaches of biocontrol agents for controlling root rot incidence of some vegetables under greenhouse conditions. *Inter. J. of Agric. and Forestry*, 2:115-127.
- Amel, K., D. Mejda, T. Neji and B. Mohamed, 2007. *In vitro* and *in vivo* suppression of *Fusarium oxysporum* f.sp. *radicis-lycopersici* the causal agent of Fusarium crown and root-rot of tomato by some compost fungi. *Inter. J. Agric. Res.*, 2:1022-1029.
- Archana, G., R. R. Alok, U. M. Sudhir and A. B. Dongre, 2010. Isolation, evaluation and characterization of *Bacillus subtilis* from cotton rhizospheric soil with biocontrol activity against *Fusarium oxysporum*. *World J. Microbiol Biotechnol.*, 26:1187-1194.
- Asaka, O. and M. Shoda, 1996. Biocontrol of *Rhizoctonia solani* damping-off of tomato with *Bacillus subtilis* RB14. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.*, 62:4081-4085.
- Baraka, M. A., W. I. Shaban, M. Awad, Nemat and A. H. Zian, 2011. Induction of systemic resistance and growth promotion by selected strains of rhizobacteria against lupine fusarium wilt. *Egypt. J. Phytopathol.*, 39(2):107-122.
- Benitez, T., A. M. Rincon, M. C. Limon and A. C. Codon, 2004. Biocontrol mechanisms of *Trichoderma* strains. *Int Microbiol* 7:249-260.
- Cigdem, K., K. Merich, K. Engin and K. Gulcan, 2007. Biological efficacy of *Trichoderma harzianum* isolate to control some fungal pathogens of wheat (*Triticum aestivum*) in Turkey. *Biologia*, 3:283-286.
- Chakraborty, U. and N. C. Chatterjee, 2007. Interaction of *Trichoderma harzianum* with *Furarium solani* during its pathogenesis and the associated resistance of the host. *Asian J. Exp. Sci.*, 21:353-357.
- Compant, S., S. Muzammil, A. Lebrhi and F. Mathieu, 2013. Use of beneficial bacteria and their secondary metabolites to control grapevine pathogen diseases. *BioControl*, 58:435-455.
- Contreras, C. H., R. L. Macias, P. C. Cortes and B. J. Lopez, 2009. *Trichoderma virens*, a plant beneficial fungus, enhances biomass production and promotes lateral root growth through an auxin-dependent mechanism in *Arabidopsis*. *Plant Physiol.*, 149:1579-1592.
- Devi, S. I., N. C. Talukdar, K. S. Chandradev, K. Jeyaram and M. Rohinikumar, 2011. Screening of rhizobacteria for their plant growth promotion ability and antagonism against damping off and root-rot diseases of broad bean (*Vicia faba* L.). *Indian J. Microbiol.*, 51:14-21.
- Estrella, F. S., M. A. Elorrieta, G. C. Vargas, M. J. Lopez and J. Moreno, 2001. Selective isolation of antagonist microorganisms of *Fusarium oxysporum* f. sp. *melonis*. *Biological Control of Plant Pathogens*, 24:109-112.
- Fokemma, N. J. 1973. The role of saprophytic fungi in antagonism against *Derchslera sorokaniana* (*Helminthosporium sativum*) on agar plates and on rye leaves with pollen. *Physiol.Plant Pathol.*, 3:195-205.
- Gugino, B. K., J.W. Travis and E.L. Stewart, 2001. Pathogenicity of *Fusarium* spp., *Cylindrocarpon* sp. and *Diplodina* sp. on grape roots. *Phytopathology*, 3:23-34.
- Gubler, W. D., K. Baumgartner, G. T. Browne, A. Eskalen, S. Rooney Latham and E. Petit, 2004. Root diseases of grapevines in California and their control. *Australasian Plant Pathology*, 33:157-165.
- Kapri, A. and L. Tewari, 2010. Phosphate solubilization potential and phosphatase activity of rhizospheric *Trichoderma* spp. *Braz. J. Microbiol.*, 41:787-795.
- Killani, A. S., R. C. I. Abaidoo, A. K. Akintokun and M. A. Abiala, 2011. Antagonistic effect of indigenous *Bacillus subtilis* on root-/soilborne fungal pathogens of cowpea. *Researcher*, 3:11-18.
- Khalid, A., M. Arshad and Z. A. Zahir, 2004. Screening plant growth promoting rhizobacteria for improving growth and yield of wheat. *J. Appl. Microbiol.*, 96:473-480.
- Leifert, C., H. Li, S. Chidburee, S. Hampson, S. Workman, D. Sigee, H. A. S. Epton and A. Harbour, 1995. Antibiotic production and biocontrol activity by *Bacillus subtilis* CL27 and *Bacillus pumilus* CL45. *J. Appl. Bacteriol.*, 78:97-108.
- Marais, P. G. 1979. Fungi associated with root rot in vineyards in the Western Cape. *Phytophylactica*, 11:65-68.
- Morsy, Ebtsam M., K. A. Abdel-Kawi, and M. N. A. Khalil, 2009. Efficiency of *Trichoderma viride* and *Bacillus subtilis* as biocontrol agent against *Fusarium solani* on tomato plants. *Egypt J. Phytopathol.*, 37:47-57.
- Naseby, D. C., J. A. Pascual and J. M. Lynch, 2000. Effect of biocontrol strains of *Trichoderma* on plant growth, *Pythium ultimum* populations, soil microbial communities and soil enzyme activities. *J. of Appl. Microbiol.*, 88:161-169.
- Omer, A. D., J. Granett and R. J. Wakeman, 1999. Pathogenicity of *Fusarium oxysporum* on different Vitis rootstocks. *J. Phytopathol.*, 147:433-436.
- Pandey, K. K., P. K. Pandey and J. P. Upadhyay, 2000. Selection of potential isolate of biocontrol agents based on biomass production, growth rate and antagonistic capability. *Vegetable Sci.*, 27:194-196.

- Pinchuk, I.V., P. Bressollier, I. B. Sorokulova, B. Verneuil and M. C. Urdaci, 2002. Amicoumacin antibiotic production and genetic diversity of *Bacillus subtilis* strains isolated from different habitats. Res. Microbiol., 153:269-276.
- Samolski, I., A. M. Rincon, P. L. Mary, A. Viterbo and E. Monte, 2012. The qid74 gene from *Trichoderma harzianum* has a role in root architecture and plant biofertilization. Microbiol., 158:129-138.
- Scherwinski, K., R. Grosch and G. Berg, 2008. Effect of bacterial antagonists on lettuce: active biocontrol of *Rhizoctonia solani* and negligible, short-term effect on non-target microbes. FEMS Microbiol. Ecol., 64:106-116.
- Singh, N., P. Pandey, R.C. Dubey and D. K. Maheshwar, 2008. Biological control of root rot fungus *Macrophomina phaseolina* and growth enhancement of *Pinus roxburghii* Sarg. by rhizosphere competent *Bacillus subtilis* BN1. World J. Microbiol. Biotechnol., 24:1669-1679.
- Snedecor, G.W. and G. W. Cochran, 1982. Statistical Methods, Iowa State University Press, Ames, Iowa, USA, 7th edition. 125 p.
- Tran, N. H. 2010. Using *Trichoderma* species for biological control of plant pathogens in Viet Nam. Phytopathol., 16:17-21.
- Ushamailini, C., S. Nakkeeran and T. Marimuthu, 2008. Development of biomanure for the management of turmeric rhizome rot. Arch. Phytopathol. Plant Prot., 41:365-376.
- Velazhahan, R., R. Samiyappan and P. Vidhyasekaran, 1999. Relationship between antagonistic of *Pseudomonas fluorescens* strains against *Rhizoctonia solani* and their production of lytic enzymes. J. Plant Dis. Prot. 106:244-250.
- Vethavalli, S. and S. S. Sudha, 2012. *In vitro* and *in silico* studies on biocontrol agent of bacterial strains against *Fusarium oxysporum* f. sp. *lycopersici*. Res. Biotechnol., 3:22-31.
- Walker, G. E. 1992. Root rot of grapevine rootlings in South Australia caused by *Rhizoctonia solani*. Australasian Plant Pathology, 21(2):58-61.
- Wahyudi, A. T., R. P. Astuti, A. Widyawati, A. A. Meryandini and A. A. Nawangsih, 2011. Characterization of *Bacillus* sp. strains isolated from rhizosphere of soybean plants for their use as potential plant growth for promoting rhizobacteria. J. of Microbiol. and Antimicrob., 3:34-40.
- Ziedan, E. H. 2003. Root-rot diseases of grapevine in Egypt. J. Agric. Sci. Mansoura Univ., 28(2):147-1481.
- Ziedan, E. H., M. Saad, Moataza and S. Farrag, Eman 2005. Biological control of grapevine root rot with antagonistic microorganisms. African Mycology and Biotechnology, 13(3):19-36.
- Ziedan, E. S. H. and R. S. R. El-Mohamedy, 2008. Application of *Pseudomonas fluorescens* for controlling root-rot disease of grapevine. Res. J. Agric. Biol. Sci. 4:346-353.
- Ziedan, E. S. H., S. Farrag, Eman, R. S. El-Mohamedy and M. A. Abd Alla, 2010. *Streptomyces alni* as a biocontrol agent to root-rot of grapevine and increasing their efficiency by biofertilisers inocula. Arch. Phytopathol. Plant Prot., 43:634-646.
- Ziedan, E. S. H., E. S. M. Embaby and S. Farrag, Eman 2011. First record of *Fusarium* vascular wilt on grapevine in Egypt. Arch. Phytopathol. Plant Prot., 44:1719-1727.