



Impact of Phosphorus Fertilizer and Potassium Humate on the Productivity and Nutritional Status of Pea Plants Irrigated with Saline Water

¹Abd El-Rheem Kh. M., ²Abd El-Baky M.M.H. and ³Sahar M. Zaghloul

¹Soil and Water Use Dept., Agricultural & Biological Research Institute, National Research Centre (NRC), 33 El-Buhouth St., 12622 Dokki, Egypt.

²Vegetable Res. Dept., Agricultural & Biological Research Institute, National Research Centre (NRC), 33 El-Buhouth St., 12622 Dokki, Egypt.

³Plant Nutrition Dept., Agricultural & Biological Research Institute, National Research Centre (NRC), 33 El-Buhouth St., 12622 Dokki, Egypt.

Received: 10 May 2025

Accepted: 25 June 2025

Published: 10 July 2025

ABSTRACT

Two field experiments were carried out in consecutive winter season of 2022 and 2023 at the Research and Production Station of the National Research Centre at the Nubaria site in Beheira Governorate, Egypt, to determine the response of pea (*Pisum sativum* L.) to foliar application of humic acid and phosphorus fertilization on growth, yield parameters and nutrients content of pea plant. Phosphorus fertilization rates (0, 38, 76 and 114 P₂O₅ kg ha⁻¹) were used as the main factor, while K-humate concentrations (0, 1000 and 2000 mg l⁻¹) were used as a second factor. The results demonstrated that applying phosphorus fertilizer and spraying K-humate had a substantial impact on growth and production. The growth and yield of peas in two consecutive growing seasons were impacted by increasing the rate at which K-humate at different concentrations was applied while also increasing the rate at which phosphorus fertilizer was applied. For the pea growth and yield coordinates, the experimental treatment (K-humate at 2000 mg l⁻¹ + 114 kg P₂O₅ ha⁻¹ of phosphorus fertilizer) received the greatest values. The nitrogen, phosphorus, and potassium content of pea seeds increased as the concentration of K-humate spraying increased. The study's findings highlight the significance of phosphorus fertilization and show that applying K-humate spray to plants while they are growing can boost the fertilizer's efficacy and impact on pea plants that are irrigated with salty water.

Keywords: Phosphorous fertilization, K-humate, Pea plants, growth, yield, nutrients content

1. Introduction

Pea (*Pisum sativum* L.) is one of the main winter crops grown in Egypt for domestic and export markets. Peas are highly nutritious, as they are rich in protein, carbohydrates, and some vitamins (Shedeed *et al.*, 2018).

One of the general essential nutrients that significantly influences the growth of all crops is phosphorus. According to Tesfaye *et al.*, (2007), phosphorus availability limits over 30% of worldwide agricultural production, making it a significant nutrient that limits productivity in most parts of the world.

Due to the high phosphorus requirements during N₂ fixation, phosphorus can be a nuisance restriction for all legumes in nutrient-poor settings (Tsvetkova and Georgiev, 2007). Root development and the synthesis of phospholipids and phosphoproteins depend on phosphorus (Loguerre, 2008).

Potassium humate (K-humate) is commonly utilized as a source of K through foliar application (Okba *et al.*, 2021). Spraying plants with K-humate increases the permeability of plant membranes owing to humate application, which enhances root growth, increases cell division and improves

productivity (Aytaç *et al.*, 2022). Humates are widespread carbonaceous materials of plant and animal residues resulting from biological and chemical decomposition (Turan *et al.*, 2022).

The study's goal was to find out how varying potassium humate concentrations and phosphorus fertilizer proportions affected the nutritional status, growth, and yield parameters of peas cultivated on sandy soil with saline water irrigation.

2. Materials and Methods

The study examined how pea (*Pisum sativum* L.) plants responded to different rates of P fertilization and K-humate concentrations throughout the winter months of 2022 and 2023 at the National Research Centre Experimental Station in Beheira Governorate. Using the standard protocols described by Cottenie (1980), Table (1) displays some of the physical and chemical characteristics of the soil used in the irrigation water trials and analysis (Table, 2).

Table 1: Some physical and chemical properties of the test soil at the beginning of the experiment

Soil properties		Values	
		First season	Second season
Particle size distribution (%)	Sand	93.32	94.0
	Silt	4.68	3.56
	Clay	2.00	2.44
	Texture	Sandy soil	Sandy soil
CaCO ₃ (%)		2.21	2.24
pH (1:2.5 soil suspension)		8.21	8.20
EC (dS m ⁻¹)		1.98	1.80
Soluble cations (mmol L ⁻¹)	Ca ⁺⁺	8.02	7.96
	Mg ⁺⁺	2.88	3.16
	Na ⁺	5.95	5.20
	K ⁺	2.95	1.68
Soluble anions (mmol L ⁻¹)	CO ₃ ⁻⁻	-	-
	HCO ₃ ⁻	1.52	1.52
	Cl ⁻	8.30	7.82
	SO ₄ ⁻⁻	9.98	8.66
Available nutrients mg kg ⁻¹	N	24.2	26.5
	P	2.05	2.00
	K	68.6	59.5
	Fe	4.11	3.95
	Mn	0.97	1.01
	Zn	1.20	0.99

The field experiment was designed as a factorial experiment in a randomized complete block design with four replications. The first factor was the phosphorus fertilizer application rates (0, 38, 76 and 114 P₂O₅ kg ha⁻¹). The second factor was the foliar application of humic acid concentrations (0, 1000 and 2000 mg l⁻¹). Phosphorus treatments in the form of mono super phosphate (15 % P₂O₅) were added during soil preparation. Foliar applications of humic acid (85 %) were added at 30 days after sowing, and repeat the spray every two weeks once.

Table 2: Some chemical analyses of irrigation water

pH	EC dS m ⁻¹	Soluble cation				Soluble anions			
		meq. L ⁻¹							
		Ca	Mg	Na	K	CO ₃	HCO ₃	Cl	SO ₄
7.10	2.69	7.45	3.81	15.2	0.44	nd.	5.20	14.6	7.10

2.1. Measured Parameters

2.1.1. Plant Growth and Plant Productivity

A random sample of four plants was taken 75 days after planting to measure plant height, number of leaves per plant, and dry weight. All production indicators were recorded: pod length, number of seeds per pod, weight of 100 seed, pod yield per plant, seeds yield per plant and protein content were recorded also.

2.1.2. Nutritional Status

Total nitrogen content was estimated by modified Kjeldahl's methods Motsara and Roy (2008). Phosphorus was determined calorimetrically by NH₄ –Metavanidate method Motsara and Roy (2008). Potassium was flame-photometrically estimated Motsara and Roy (2008).

2.2. Statistical Analysis

All data were subjected to statistical analysis using Mstac software. Different treatments were compared as described by Snedecor and Cochran (1982).

Results and Discussion:

The results (Table 3) showed the effects of increasing the application rate of different concentrations of K-humate and increasing rates of phosphorus fertilization on the characteristics growth of pea plants during two successive growing seasons. Increasing the concentration of K-humate from zero to 2000 mg l⁻¹ with increasing the phosphorus fertilizer rate from zero to 114 Kg P₂O₅ ha⁻¹, led to significantly increased the growth parameters of pea. The experimental treatment (K-humate at 2000 mg l⁻¹ + 114 Kg P₂O₅ ha⁻¹ of phosphorus fertilizer) was given the highest values for the pea growth coordinates in two consecutive seasons. The experimental treatment (K-humate at 2000 mg l⁻¹ + 114 Kg P₂O₅ ha⁻¹ of phosphorus fertilizer) resulted in the highest values of pea growth coordinates for two consecutive seasons.

Table 3: Effect of P fertilization and K-humate on pea growth at two season's growth (2022-2023).

P fertilization Kg P ₂ O ₅ ha ⁻¹	K-humate mg l ⁻¹	Plant height cm	No. of leaves plant ⁻¹	Dry weight plant ⁻¹ g	Plant height cm	No. of leaves plant ⁻¹	Dry weight plant ⁻¹ g
2021				2022			
0	0	21.2	10.7	17.9	21.4	10.5	17.6
	1000	30.5	11.9	19.8	30.6	11.7	19.5
	2000	35.6	14.5	20.8	34.9	14.3	20.4
38	0	24.6	11.3	18.6	24.4	11.2	18.5
	1000	32.3	13.4	25.4	32.3	13.6	25.1
	2000	39.1	17.8	28.2	38.8	16.9	28.0
76	0	26.4	12.2	22.1	26.4	12.0	22.3
	1000	37.3	14.3	31.2	37.0	14.1	31.0
	2000	41.4	19.1	35.6	41.2	18.8	34.5
114	0	28.3	12.6	22.5	28.5	12.4	22.4
	1000	38.0	14.5	32.0	37.9	14.3	31.9
	2000	43.2	19.2	36.1	42.1	19.0	36.0
LSD _{0.05}		2.42	0.051	0.67	2.39	0.50	0.66

The growth and development of plants are significantly influenced by phosphorus, a vital macronutrient. However, crop yield is severely hampered by the soil's inadequate supply of phosphorus (Khan *et al.*, 2023). Afifi *et al.* (2010) demonstrated that humic acid applied topically enhances nutritional status and boosts the growth and production of legumes. According to Fouda (2017), humic acid spraying of the leaves raised the dry weight of faba bean plants at varying degrees of phosphorus fertilization.

The findings (Table 4) demonstrate how the pea yield metrics were affected over the course of two consecutive growing seasons by spraying K-humate at concentrations ranging from 0 to 2000 mg l⁻¹,

which overlapped with varying rates of phosphorus fertilization (0 to 114 Kg P₂O₅ ha⁻¹). The growth index and growth characteristics improved significantly as a result of increasing the amount of phosphorus fertilizer applied and improving the humic acid spraying effect. This improved effect of the experimental treatments continued to have an effect on the yield and its various characteristics over the course of two consecutive growing seasons, particularly with the highest concentrations of K-humate and the highest rate of phosphorus fertilizer. Because the third rate of phosphorus fertilization (76 kg P₂O₅ ha⁻¹) produced the best values for the yield and its characteristics, it was observed that increasing the concentration of K-humate spraying up to 2000 mg l⁻¹ increased the efficiency of using phosphorous fertilizer. This was in contrast to the yield values obtained when adding the higher rate of phosphorus fertilizer (114 kg P₂O₅ ha⁻¹), with a high spraying concentration of humic acid.

Table 4: Effect of P fertilization and K-humate on pea yield at two season's growth (2022-2023)

P fertilization Kg P ₂ O ₅ ha ⁻¹	K-humate mg l ⁻¹	Pod length cm	No. of seeds pod ⁻¹	Weight of 100 seeds g	Pod yield Ton/ ha ⁻¹	Protein %
2022						
0	0	4.89	5.44	18.0	4.25	17.9
	1000	5.44	6.89	21.2	5.06	23.0
	2000	6.78	7.10	23.0	5.35	24.7
38	0	5.56	6.11	19.0	4.68	18.0
	1000	6.22	7.29	23.4	5.45	24.6
	2000	8.00	7.68	25.6	6.12	27.3
76	0	6.63	6.55	20.2	5.11	18.9
	1000	7.82	7.54	27.4	6.24	28.8
	2000	8.92	7.82	27.6	6.89	32.0
114	0	7.01	6.77	20.6	5.33	20.1
	1000	8.66	7.69	27.6	6.46	31.9
	2000	9.56	8.00	28.0	6.91	33.4
LSD_{0.05}		0.76	0.33	0.26	0.20	0.40
2023						
0	0	4.88	5.39	17.9	4.32	18.0
	1000	5.39	6.88	21.0	5.11	23.1
	2000	6.74	7.11	22.9	5.52	24.8
38	0	5.53	6.08	19.1	4.78	18.1
	1000	6.20	7.28	23.5	5.52	24.7
	2000	8.01	7.69	25.5	6.24	27.2
76	0	6.61	6.54	20.3	5.14	18.8
	1000	7.80	7.53	27.6	6.22	28.7
	2000	8.91	7.81	27.8	6.89	31.9
114	0	7.00	6.72	20.5	5.38	20.0
	1000	8.59	7.68	26.8	6.43	31.7
	2000	9.49	8.01	27.9	6.89	33.1
LSD_{0.05}		0.75	0.35	0.30	0.25	0.39

According to Mesut *et al.* (2010), pepper plants grown in growth chamber conditions under moderate salt stress had improved yield characteristics when fertilized with humic acid and phosphate. According to Forgac and Czimbalmos (2011), applying 1 g⁻¹ K-humate to pea plants greatly boosted their average freshness and weight as well as the number of pods per plant and total yield per plant. The primary component of ATP, the chemical that gives plants "energy" to perform functions including respiration, nutrient transport, nutrient absorption, protein synthesis, and photosynthesis, is phosphorus (Alinajati & Mirshekari, 2011). According to Gad El-Hak *et al.* (2012), pea plants cultivated in clay soil benefit from and yield more when K-humate is sprayed at a concentration of 2 gl⁻¹.

As seen in Table 5, the addition of phosphorus fertilization and the application of K-humate spraying enhanced the nutritional content of pea seeds. During the two consecutive growth seasons, pea

seeds with higher humic acid spray concentrations had higher levels of nitrogen, phosphorus, and potassium as well as higher rates of phosphate fertilization.

It has been demonstrated that applying humic acids topically to plants enhances the chemical makeup of cowpea plants (El-Hefny, 2010). According to Ahmed and El-Abagy (2007), the amount of nitrogen, phosphorus, and potassium in bean plants' leaves increased as phosphorus fertilizers were applied more frequently.

Table 5: Effect of P fertilization and K-humate on N, P and K content on pea seeds at two season's growth (2022-2023).

P fertilization Kg P ₂ O ₅ ha ⁻¹	K-humate mgl ⁻¹	N	P	K	N	P	K
		%			%		
		2022			2023		
0	0	3.00	0.10	0.91	3.01	0.11	0.92
	1000	3.29	0.18	0.98	3.30	0.18	0.97
	2000	3.56	0.19	1.03	3.57	0.19	1.04
38	0	3.07	0.19	0.96	3.08	0.19	0.96
	1000	3.46	0.23	1.08	3.47	0.24	1.08
	2000	3.88	0.25	1.16	3.90	0.26	1.17
76	0	3.12	0.22	1.00	3.11	0.23	1.01
	1000	4.01	0.28	1.17	4.02	0.28	1.18
	2000	4.36	0.31	1.31	4.40	0.30	1.32
114	0	3.20	0.27	1.03	3.21	0.28	1.04
	1000	4.55	0.32	1.20	4.56	0.33	1.21
	2000	4.76	0.34	1.67	4.77	0.35	1.68
LSD_{0.05}		0.37	0.02	0.05	0.40	0.02	0.05

4. Conclusion

The productivity and nutritional status of pea plants watered with saline water are greatly improved by the simultaneous application of potassium humate and phosphorus fertilizer. Phosphorus plays a crucial role in root development, energy transfer, and overall plant vigor, helping mitigate the adverse effects of salinity. On the other hand, potassium humate acts as a biostimulant that increases plant stress tolerance while also improving soil structure, nutrient availability, and water retention. Together, these inputs improve plant growth parameters, increase pod yield, and enhance nutrient uptake efficiency under saline conditions. Potassium humate and phosphorus fertilizer integration thus offers a sustainable way to enhance pea crop performance in soils impacted by salt.

References

- Ahmed, M. A. and H. M. H. El-Abagy, 2007. Effect of bio-and mineral phosphorus fertilizer on growth, productivity and nutritional value of some faba bean (*Vicia faba* L.) cultivars in Newly cultivated land. J. of Applied Sci. Res., 3 (6) 408-420.
- Afifi, M. H. M., M. F. Mohamed, and H. A. Shaaban, 2010. Yield and nutrient uptake of some faba bean varieties grown in newly cultivated soil as affected by foliar application of humic acid. J. of Plant Production, 1 (1): 77-85.
- Alinajati, S. S. and B. Mirshekari, 2011. Effect of phosphorus fertilization and seed bio fertilization on harvest index and phosphorus use efficiency of wheat cultivars. Journal of Food, Agricultural and Environment, 9: 388-397.
- Aytaç, Z., A. Gülbandır and M. Kürkçüoğlu, 2022. Humic Acid Improves Plant Yield, Antimicrobial Activity and Essential Oil Composition of Oregano (*Origanum vulgare* L. subsp. hirtum (Link.) Ietswaart). Agronomy, 12, 2086.
- Cottenie, A., M. Verloo, L. Kiekens, G. Velgh and R. Camerlynk, 1982. Chemical Analysis of Plants and Soils. P 44-45. State Univ. Ghent, Belgium, 63.

- El-Hefny, E.M., 2010. Effect of saline irrigation water and humic acid application on growth and productivity of two cultivars of cowpea (*Vigna unguiculata* L. Walp.). Australian Journal of Basic and Applied Sciences, 4: 6154-6168
- Forgac, L. and R. Czimbalmos, 2011. The applied soil protective cultivation system-a method to reduce and prevent the soil degradation processes. NoveNytermeles, 60: 279-282.
- Fouda K.F. (2017). effect of phosphorus level and some growth regulators on productivity of Faba Bean (*Vicia Faba* L.). Egypt. J. Soil Sci., 57(1):73 – 87.
- Gad El-Hak, S.H., A.M. Ahmed, and Y.M.M. Moustafa, 2012. Effect of foliar application with two antioxidants and humic acid on growth, yield and yield components of peas (*Pisum sativum* L.). Journal of Horticultural Science & Ornamental Plants 4 (3): 318-328
- Khan F., A. Siddique, S. Shabala, M. Zhou and C. Zhao, 2023. Phosphorus Plays Key Roles in Regulating Plants' Physiological Responses to Abiotic Stresses. Plant, 12, (2861): 2-29.
- Loguerre, G., 2008. Plant phenology and genetic variability in root and nodule development strongly influence genetic structuring of Rhizobium leguminous arumbiovarviciae populations nodulating pea. New Phytol, 179: 224-235.
- Mesut K. C., Ö. Türkmen, M. Turan and B. Tuncer, 2010. Phosphorus and humic acid application alleviate salinity stress of pepper seedling. African Journal of Biotechnology, 9(36): 5845-5851.
- Motsara, M.R. and R.N. Roy, 2008. Guide to laboratory establishment for plant nutrient analysis. Food and agricultural organization of the United Nations FAO Fertilizer and Plant Nutrition Bulletin. Rome, pp: 219.
- Okba, S.K., Y. Mazrou, H.M. Elmenofy, A. Ezzat and A.M. Salama, 2021. New Insights of Potassium Sources Impacts as Foliar Application on 'Canino' Apricot Fruit Yield, Fruit Anatomy, Quality and Storability. Plants, 10, 1163
- Shedeed, S. I., Z. F. Fawzy and A. M. El-Bassiony, 2018. Nano and mineral selenium foliar application effect on pea plants (*Pisum sativum* L.). Bioscience Research, 15: 645-654.
- Snedecor, G.W. and W.G. Cochran, 1982. Statistical Methods. 7 ed. Iowa State Univ. Press, Iowa, U.S.A.
- Tesfaye, M., J. Liu, D.L. Allan and C.P. Vance, 2007. Genomic and genetic control of phosphate stress in legumes. Plant Physiol., 144: 594- 603.
- Tsvetkova, G.E. and G.I. Georgiev, 2007. Changes in phosphate fractions extracted from different organs of phosphorus starved nitrogen fixing pea plants. J. Plant Nutr., 30, 2129-2140.
- Turan, M., M. Ekinci, R. Kul, A. Kocaman, S. Argin A.M. Zhirkova, I.V. Perminova, E. Yildirim, 2022. Foliar Applications of Humic Substances Together with Fe/Nano Fe to Increase the Iron Content and Growth Parameters of Spinach (*Spinacia oleracea* L.). Agronomy, 12, 2044.