Middle East Journal of Agriculture Research Volume: 12 | Issue: 04| Oct. – Dec.| 2023

EISSN: 2706-7955 ISSN: 2077-4605 DOI: 10.36632/mejar/2023.12.4.42 Journal homepage: www.curresweb.com Pages: 642-652



Estimates of Variability, Genetic Parameters and Associated Relationships for Grain Yield and Its Components in Barley under Ras-Sudr Conditions

¹Abo El-Fotoh Soad S., M. F. Ahmed², K.A. El-Shouny², E.S.A. Moustafa¹ and Amany N. Sayed²

¹Plant Breeding Unit, Plant Genetic Resources Dept., Desert Research Center, El-Matareya, Cairo, Egypt.

²Agronomy Department, Faculty of Agriculture, Ain Shams University, P.O. Box 68, Hadayek Shoubra 11241, Cairo, Egypt

 Received: 11 April 2023
 Accepted: 15 May 2023
 Published: 05 Nov. 2023

ABSTRACT

Emerging of stress tolerant and high yielding crops has grown in importance, particularly in light of global population growth and environmental changes. In order to improve barley production under difficult conditions, high yielding genotypes should be chosen based on the performance and genetic variability of advanced cultivars produced in Ras-Sudr salinity water. Throughout three seasons (2016-2019), the F_2 generation of the cross CHK2 x Australia was planted in naturally saline soil (5000 ppm) and irrigated with naturally salty water at 6300 ppm. Genetic parameters, correlations, and path coefficient analyses have all been investigated for all traits. Variance analysis demonstrated the presence of highly significant variations across all genotypes for all variables, with both phenotypic and genotypic variation coefficients for grain/plant were high, indicating great variety for genetic improvement by selection. Using hierarchical clustering, breeding genotypes and their parents were divided into three groups according to their performance in salt conditions: highly, intermediately, and lowly. Furthermore, for all analysed parameters, broad sense heritability vary from 70.61% to 96.52%. The genetic advance, expressed as a percentage of mean estimates, varied from 4.82% for days to maturity to 45.14% for grain yield/plant. The number of spikes/plant, seed index, and grain yield/plant had high heritability and genetic advance. However, phenotypic correlation coefficients revealed positive significant relationships among grain yield/plant and plant height, spike length, number of grains/spike, and seed index. Furthermore, the number of spikes/plant, grains/spike, seed index, and days to maturity all had a positive and direct effect on grain yield, whereas plant height, days to heading, and spike length all had a negative and direct effect on yield, indicating the importance of these variables in improving production.

Keywords: Barley (*Hordeum vulgare*, L.), breeding lines, salinity, variability, genetic parameters, heritability, genetic advance, correlations, path analysis and cluster analysis.

1. Introduction

Barley (*Hordeum vulgare*, L.) has been known since ancient times and is assumed to have originated in the Fertile Crescent area of the East Mediterranean, where it was domesticated some 10,000 years ago. It is historically considered as a poor man's crop because to its low input needs and superior tolerance to difficult settings globally (Abdel-Ghani, 2013), ranking fourth in terms of planted area and total output behind wheat, rice, and maize (FAO, 2020). Barley is the main crop grown on a large scale in Egypt's North Coastal Region, as well as newly reclaimed lands; it is regarded as the best choice in the risky conditions of poor soils and newly reclaimed areas (El-Banna *et al.*, 2011), and it is typically cultivated in arid and semi-arid areas that are not suitable for other field crops (Naghii and Asgharipour, 2011). Furthermore, enhancing yield components may improve yield stability and/or yield potential in

Corresponding Author: Soad Abo El-Fotoh, 1Plant Breeding Unit, Plant Genetic Resources Dept., Desert Research Center, El-Matareya, Cairo, Egypt. E-mail: - soadsayed@agr.asu.edu.eg a number of environments, including specific stressors like salt (Zhu et al., 2020).

The advanced rate of crop improvement is primarily determined by the amount of genetic variability present for various economic traits, whereas the study of statistical parameters such as variance, PCV, GCV, ECV, GA, and h2 is not only useful in evaluating the genetic stability and performance of any particular genotype, but it is also a measure that determines the effectiveness of selection for a specific trait in that genotype (Azimi *et al.*, 2017). The link between grain yield and other agronomic characteristics offers a constant level of genetic variation among genotypes, affecting the efficacy of the selection approach (Abdel-Ghani, 2013). Simultaneous selection in barley cultivars based on related traits is the most desirable approach to improving traits such as grain yield, with the goal of determining the most valuable genotypes and the most suitable combination of traits with the goal of improving yield in different plants and identifying highly salt tolerant accessions (Eshghi *et al.*, 2011). Advanced breeding lines and germplasms of barley with improved agronomic performance, disease resistance, and abiotic stress tolerance, as well as grain quality features aimed at the malt and feed markets (Abdel-Ghani, 2013).

Correlation analysis measures aided in the development of a successful breeding strategy by identifying the best genotypes with the greatest features, notably the goal ones of grain and biological yields. When indirect selection of secondary characteristics was employed to increase the primary traits of interest, correlation coefficients were effective in determining the correlations between the variables and both yields (Jamshidi and Javanmard, 2018). The goal of cluster analysis is to discover superior genotypes under varied settings, therefore clustering existing genotypes based on morphological characteristics allows breeders to harness existing genetic resources for future breeding programmes (Enyew *et al.*, 2019). As a result, the effective goals of this current study are to determine; the efficiency of pedigree selection for grain yield in improving high yielding inbred lines after two cycles of selection on the genetic variability, correlation, and path analysis between yield and its attributed characters; and finally to identify superior genotypes by clustering F_4 selected families based on their diversity in performance under salinity conditions (Ras-Sudr conditions).

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Experimental Design and Plant Materials

This study was conducted throughout three growing seasons, 2016/17, 2017/18, and 2018/19, at the Desert Research Center's Experimental Farm, Ras-Sudr Research Station, South Sinai, Egypt (29° 35' N, 32° 41' E), with salt in irrigation water and soil having 6300 ppm and 5000 ppm, respectively. At the Central Laboratory, Desert Research Centre, Egypt, the physical and chemical parameters of the experimental site's soil were analysed using the technique specified by Piper (1950) and mechanically using Black *et al.*, (1965) (Table 1). Table. 2 exhibits the metrological data of the site obtained from Central Laboratory for Agricultural Climate, at Doki, Giza, Egypt.

	Mechanical ana	lysis	
Depth (cm)	0-15	15-30	Texture
Coarse sand %	22.61	35.2	
Fine sand %	45.49	28.4	calcareous
Silt %	16.48	18.96	saline
Clay %	15.33	17.1	
	Chemical anal	ysis	
Ph		7.49	7.81
EC dsm-1		8.54	8.84
CaCO ₃		45.62	48.34
	Na+	48.04	43.24
	Ca++	21.21	19.26
Salahla an Gara	Mg++	41.86	46.8
Soluble cations	K+	1.62	2.23
(mg/100g)	НСО3-	10.85	11.6
	Cl-	51.48	56.23
	SO4	27.35	20.24

 Table 1: Mechanical and chemical analyses of the experimental site during 2018/19 growing season.

Month	Average Temperature (C°)	Relative Humidity (%)	Participants (mm)
Nov-18	19	58.6	6.4
Dec-18	14.05	65.3	8.5
Jan-19	12.25	52.6	0.7
Feb-19	13.5	54.8	7.4
Mar-19	15.15	52.9	8.2
Apr-19	18.8	44.5	1.1

Table 2: Meteorological data of the experimental site during 2018/19 growing season.

The population used in the current study was the F_2 generation of the cross: CHK2 x Australia, such population was chosen as a promising population under saline conditions in a previous study for Mansour and Moustafa (2016).

In the first season 2016/17, the parents and F_2 seeds were sown individually in non-replicated trial; the highest grain yielding plants were selected to give F_3 - seeds families that were sown in the second season. The parents and seeds of selected families were sown in a random complete blocks design (RCBD) with three replications in the second season 2017/18; the best 25 families in grain yield were chosen to supply the seeds of F_4 -families. The parents and seeds of chosen F_4 -families were seeded in a random complete blocks design (RCBD) with three replications during the third season 2018/19. In each replicate, each family and parents were sowed in a single row 3 m long, 30 cm apart, and 10 cm between hills. The highest ten grain yielding plants in each row have been selected and harvested. The highest 10 plants in grain yielding in each row were selected and harvested. Data were recorded for days to heading, days to maturity, plant height (cm), number of spikes/plant, spike length (cm), number of grains/spike, seed index and grain yield/plant (g).

Sowing and harvesting date in first, second and third seasons were 15th, 18th and 17th November; and in 25th, 28th, 26th of April, respectively. During the three growing seasons, the cultural practises recommended for barely production in the experiment region were followed. Grain sorghum (*Sorghum bicolar*, L.) was grown the previous summer.

2.2. Statistical Analysis

Data were properly analysed statistically, and differences between means were assessed at the 5% level of probability using Steel *et al.*, (1997) least significant difference (LSD) test.

The coefficients of genotypic and phenotypic variation (GCV and PCV) were categorised as Sivasubramanian and Madhavamenon (1973) recommended and broad sense heritability was determined as Walker (1960) suggested. The genetic advance is often given as a percentage of the mean, as determined by the Comstock and Robinson (1952) formula. The phenotypic correlation and the path analysis were made by (Singh and Choudhary (1985). Hierarchical cluster analysis was applied acoording to Melchinger *et al.*, (1991).

Using the UNIVARIATE and PROC CORR procedures, SAS software (SAS Institute Inc., 2003) was used to calculate all descriptive statistics (means, ranges, coefficients of variation, heritability, genetic progress, correlation and path analytic analyses) for each trait and among traits.

3. Results and Discussion

3.1. Analysis of variance and mean performance

Analysis of variances for 27 barley genotypes (2 parents and 25 F_4 - families) on 8 quantitative traits were presented in Table. 3. The results for all traits investigated showed highly significant variances across genotypes, indicating that there was a large potential diversity among barley genotypes for various attributes, which would be useful for successful selection (Negash *et al.*, 2021). The same findings were achieved by Kumari *et al.*, (2019), Dido *et al.*, (2020) and Iannucci *et al.*, (2021).

The performance of the tested genotypes for yield and its related traits are shown in Table. 4. Results showed that means overall genotypes were 84.88 and 125.39 days for earliness traits. From all 27 genotypes 14 and 15 selected families exhibited earliness performance for days to heading and days to maturity, respectively. On the contrary hand, the three selected families; 81, 136, 76 were the latest performance in two earliness traits and 14, CHCK2, 82 and 192 families were the latest in maturity date. The genotypes exhibited range value of 80.44 days to 130.53 days for family No. 21 in day to heading and family No. 81 in days to maturity, respectively. This wide range indicates the variability in

genotypes. Earliness in heading and maturity in barley are considered as mechanism from plant for escape from unsuitable conditions such as salinity stress. Escape, therefore, is not considered to be stress resistance per se, but meantime the earliness barley genotype is desirable unfavorable conditions.

S.O.V.	Rep.	Fam.	Error	
d.f.	2	26	52	
Traits				
Days to heading	0.32	49.68**	2.00	
Days to maturity	0.88	31.67**	1.63	
Plant height (cm)	0.55	140.33**	1.67	
No. of spikes/plant	0.14	3.80**	0.45	
Spike length (cm)	0.01	3.04**	0.37	
No. of grains/spike	0.66	86.16**	2.44	
Seed index	0.03	1.89**	0.09	
Grain yield /plant (gm)	0.58	99.64**	3.02	

Table 3: Mean squares of the studied traits of the selected pedigree families in the F_4 -generation in barley under Ras-Sudr conditions; season 2018/19.

*,** : Denote significance at P ≤ 0.05 and 0.01 probability level, respectively.

Table 4: Performance of the studied traits of the selected pedigree families in the F₄-generation in barley under Ras-Sudr conditions; season 2018/19.

Traits Fam. No.	Days to heading	Days to maturity	Plant height (cm)	No. of spikes /plant	Spike length (cm)	No. of grains /spike	Seed index	Grain yield /plant (gm)
CHK 2	88.34	130.02	69.26	6.10	7.37	69.27	4.14	19.53
Australian	83.92	123.08	73.12	5.00	7.35	68.67	4.29	14.54
2	81.86	122.98	72.61	7.12	9.87	82.54	5.67	28.28
4	81.04	122.07	86.90	9.08	10.21	88.32	6.64	33.45
7	86.82	127.98	74.31	6.65	8.22	81.16	5.52	19.68
8	81.33	122.64	83.33	7.95	10.01	84.04	6.51	21.76
10	82.84	123.75	73.28	6.86	9.11	82.13	5.59	29.12
11	81.11	122.09	85.31	8.44	10.16	85.27	6.64	33.22
12	81.49	122.71	81.83	7.64	9.94	90.32	6.60	29.81
13	81.24	122.37	84.36	8.18	10.02	83.38	6.61	30.64
14	88.84	130.34	69.32	6.14	8.04	80.09	5.49	25.69
19	84.34	123.94	72.91	6.76	9.06	81.98	5.59	28.86
20	86.15	127.94	74.27	6.65	8.94	81.35	5.56	20.18
21	80.44	122.06	87.31	10.29	10.54	92.25	6.68	34.58
28	90.24	128.10	73.89	6.00	7.98	79.08	4.78	18.28
30	85.24	127.69	73.60	6.67	9.04	81.37	5.56	27.88
81	93.60	130.53	62.45	5.89	7.91	76.46	4.58	15.46
82	88.19	129.97	69.33	6.14	8.11	81.07	5.50	26.59
83	81.53	122.76	80.96	7.41	9.94	83.23	6.48	24.86
84	81.37	122.67	82.01	7.80	10.01	91.16	6.60	30.52
76	91.47	128.37	71.37	6.00	7.93	78.31	4.73	17.87
77	81.73	122.88	71.88	7.21	9.88	83.22	5.73	22.60
136	92.12	130.37	62.52	5.92	7.93	77.39	4.68	16.95
138	81.73	122.77	80.13	7.24	9.89	83.22	6.43	24.53
190	82.00	123.26	71.39	7.10	9.80	82.27	5.65	22.32
191	82.53	123.34	72.64	6.99	9.46	82.15	5.64	22.01
192	90.20	128.94	69.52	6.00	7.99	79.20	4.83	29.43
Mean	84.88	125.39	75.18	7.01	9.06	81.81	5.66	24.76
Min	80.44	122.06	62.45	5.00	7.35	68.67	4.14	14.54
Max	93.60	130.53	87.31	10.29	10.54	92.25	6.68	34.58
LSD 0.05	2.32	2.09	2.12	1.10	1.00	2.56	0.49	2.85

Moreover, the agronomic traits viz; plant height (cm), No. of spikes/plant, spike length (cm), No. of grains/spike, seed index and grain yield/plant (g). The genotypes exhibited range value from 4.14 to 92.25 for seed index and No. of grains/spike, respectively. For the plant height the three selected families

21, 4, 11 were the tallest genotypes, while the two selected families 81 and 136 were the shortest. The selected family No. 21 was the highest in No. of spikes/plant (10.29), whereas 9 genotypes from 27 had low value ranged from 5 to 6.14 spikes/plant for the parental genotype Australia and the family No. 82, respectively. 13 selected families from 27 exhibited high values for spike length (cm) ranged from 10.54 cm for family No.21 to 9.46 cm for the family 191; and 10 selected families had low values ranged from 7.35 cm for the parental genotypes Australia to 8.22 cm for the family No. 7. The three selected families 21, 84, 12 revealed high No. of grains/spike (92.25, 91.16 and 90.32 grains, respectively), whereas the parental genotypes Australia (68.67) and CHK2 (69.27) showed lowest No. of grains/plant. The performance of seed index showed that 9 selected families had high value ranged from 6.68 for the family No. 21 to 6.43 for family 138 as well as the parental genotype Australia (4.29), the selected families 81 (4.58) and 136 (4.68) had lowest value. The three families No. 21, 4, 11 exhibited high grain yield/plant (34.58, 33.45, 33.22 g, respectively), while the parental genotype Australia and the two selected families No. 81 and 136 showed lowest grain yield/plant (14.54, 15.46, 16.95 g, respectively). From the previous results, it is clear that the selected families 21 then 4 and 11 exhibited the best performance for the most agronomic traits, so its families can be promising in breeding program for barley under salinity stress. Some of these results were agree with Dyulgerov and Dyulgerova (2020a), Al Lawati et al., (2021) and Kaur et al., (2022).

3.2. Variability and Genetic estimates

The variability was assessed via genotypic (GCV) and phenotypic (PCV) coefficients of variation, broad sense heritability (h2), and genetic advance as a percentage of the mean (GAM%) among barley genotypes. Estimates of this genetic variability parameter are presented in Table 5.

Despite the low magnitude difference between phenotypic and genotypic coefficients of variation for all traits studied, the phenotypic coefficient of variation was clearly higher than the corresponding genotypic coefficient of variation for all traits studied, indicating very little environmental influence on the expression of the characters. According to Deshmukh et al., (1986), phenotypic coefficient of variation (PCV) and genotypic coefficient of variation (GCV) values are classified as low (10%), medium (10-20%), and high (>20%). In this study, the genotypes demonstrated low to moderate levels of genotypic coefficient of variability (GCV) and phenotypic coefficient of variability (PCV) for the studied traits, with the exception of grain yield/plant, which demonstrated a high level of both variations (>20%), indicating the presence of a wide range of both variations in all selected characters. The genotypic and phenotypic coefficients of variation varied from 2.52 for days to maturity to 22.92 for grain yield/plant, and from 2.72 to 23.97 for the same attributes. Grain yield/plant had the highest estimates of both variables (GCV and PCV) (22.92 and 23.97, respectively). In addition to PCV for spike length, moderate levels of genotypic and phenotypic coefficients of variation were found for the attributes; number of spikes/plant (15.08 and 17.88) and seed index (13.69 and 14.66) for GCV and PCV, respectively. Whereas the lowest values of both variations were recorded in traits; days to heading (4.70 and 4.98), days to maturity (2.52 and 2.72) and no. of grains/spike (6.46 and 6.73) in addition to GCV for spike length. Lodhi et al., (2015), Kumar et al., (2018) and Yadav and Singh (2021) found that the highest values of phenotypic and genotypic coefficients of variation were observed for grain yield/plant.

Heritability helps in estimating expected progress through selection (Yadav and Singh, 2021). According to Singh (2001), heritability levels are categorised as very high (80%), moderately high (60-79%), moderate (40-59%), and low (40%). The broad sense heritability (h²) estimates in this study ranged from 70.61 for spike length to 96.52 for plant height. Estimates of broad sense heritability indicated moderately high to very high measures of all traits, due to the presence of large variances in the average values of those traits, which allow for effective selection among them to produce novel salt-tolerant genotypes (Moustafa, 2021). Two characters viz. No. of spikes/spike (71.07) and spike length (70.61) had moderately high estimates of heritability in the broad sense; other traits had very high heritability estimates viz. days to heading (88.81), days to maturity (86.00), plant height (96.52), No. of grains/spike (91.95), seed index (87.23) and grain yield/plant (91.43). Thus, if a character's heritability is very high, selection for these characteristics may be easier and more successful; they were mainly determined by additive gene effect and fixable by selection (Yadav and Singh, 2021). Marzougui and Chargui (2018) found that heritability ranging from 0.61 for plant height to 0.98 for No. of grains/spike.

generations, while Ahmadi *et al.*, (2016) found that broad sense heritability ranged from 24% for grain yield/(t/ha) to 96% for the No. of grains/spike. Dinsa *et al.*, (2018) found that the broad sense heritability estimate varied from 22.26% to 70.50%. Dyulgerov and Dyulgerova (2020a) found that heritability in broad sense ranged from 10.10% for grain yield to 94.60% for spike length.

Estimates of genetic advance help in comprehending the type of gene action involved in the expression of different polygenic traits (Negash et al., 2021). The genetic gain is determined by the amount of genetic diversity and the extent of the environment's masking impact (Segherloo et al., 2016). According to Johnson et al., (2010), expected genetic advance as a percentage of mean was classified as low (10%), moderate (10-20%), and high (\geq 20%). Due to different scale of traits, genetic advance as a percentage of mean (GAM%) estimates ranged from 4.82 for days to maturity to 45.14 for grain vield/plant. GAM values expressed were highest for the traits; no. of spikes/spike (26.18), seed index (26.35) and grain yield/plant (45.14). However, moderate genetic advance in percentage of mean were recorded for plant height (18.30) and spike length (18.02) and No. of grains/spike (12.75). On the other hand, low genetic advance was shown for days to heading (9.12), days to maturity (4.82). Addisu and Shumet (2015) found that high genetic advance was observed for characters grain yield and No. of tillers/plant. Aynewa et al., (2015) found that relatively high genetic advance was recorded for spike length, 1000 grain weight, No. of grains/spike, days to maturity, plant height and days to heading. Lodhi et al., (2015) found that high genetic advance as percent of mean was observed for plant height and No. of grains/spike, while Ahmadi et al., (2016) found that the highest and lowest expected genetic advance, observed for days to maturity and the No. of grains/spike, respectively.

High heritability coupled with high genetic advance is prinmarily due to the additive gene action. Moreover, high heritability with low genetic advance and moderate or low heritability with low genetic advance represent non additive gene action (Katiyar *et al.*, 2020). High heritability with high genetic advance was observed for No. of spikes/plant, seed index and grain yield/plant, emphasises the important role of these attributes in selection and improvement. On the other hand, high heritability with low genetic advance was shown in day to heading, days to maturity and No. of grain/spike, while high heritability with low genetic advance was shown in plant height and spike length. Kumar and Shekhawat (2013) found that plant height, spike length, No. of tillers/meter row length and grain yield showed high estimates of heritability along with high genetic advance (%of mean), while Kumari *et al.*, (2019) found that low heritability coupled with low genetic advance in percent of mean was recorded for days to heading.

U						
Parameters Traits	PV	GV	PCV (%)	GCV (%)	h2 (%)	GAM (%)
Days to heading	17.90	15.89	4.98	4.70	88.81	9.12
Days to maturity	11.64	10.01	2.72	2.52	86.00	4.82
Plant height (cm)	47.89	46.22	9.21	9.04	96.52	18.30
No. of spikes/plant	1.57	1.12	17.88	15.08	71.07	26.18
Spike length (cm)	1.26	0.89	12.39	10.41	70.61	18.02
No. of grain /spike	30.35	27.90	6.73	6.46	91.95	12.75
Seed index	0.69	0.60	14.66	13.69	87.23	26.35
Grain vield/plant (gm)	35.23	32.20	23.97	22.92	91.43	45.14

Table 5: Variability, heritability and genetic advance for the studied traits of the selected families in theF4-generation in barley under Ras-Sudr conditions; season 2018/19.

3.3. Phenotypic correlation and path coefficient analysis

Yield improvement as a complicated trait for which direct selection is ineffective (kumar *et al.*, 2013). The analysis of the phenotypic correlation coefficients was examined to determine the interrelationship between the characteristics evaluated. In this study, phenotypic correlation coefficient is displayed in Table 6. Days to heading and days to maturity had negative and highly significant correlation with all other studied traits, while it had positive and significant correlation together with each other. On the contrary, yield and other related traits viz. plant height, No. of spikes/plant, spike length, No. of grains/spike and seed index had positive and highly significant correlation with each other. The seed index, which is one of the main components of grain yield gave the highest magnitude of correlation associated with spike length followed by No. of grains/spike then No. of spikes/plant, which had large magnitude with each other. Moreover, moderate to high associated magnitude was

obtained in each pair of remaining correlations. Tofiq *et al.*, (2015) and Yadav *et al.*, (2019) found that highly significant and positive correlation were observed between grain yield/plant with No. of spikes/plant, while Kumar *et al.*, (2017) and Ghimire and Mahat (2019) found that with 1000 grain weight and spike length.

Although these correlations are helpful in determining the main components influencing grain yield, they provide an incomplete relationship of the relative importance of direct and indirect with other traits involved (Vinesh *et al.*, 2018). Path coefficient analyses are used to identify which characters contribute towards yield directly and indirectly. Data in Table 7 revealed path coefficient analysis for direct and indirect relationships between yield and its related characters.

No. of spikes/plant had the highest positive direct effect (0.69) on yield followed by no. of grains/spike (0.54), seed index (0.44) and days to maturity (0.11). These parameters were identified as direct selection. Vinesh *et al.*, (2018) found that spike length, plant height, No. of grains/spike, 1000-grain weight had positive direct effects on grain yield/plant, while Ahmadi *et al.*, (2016) found that thousand grain weight exhibited the highest direct effects on grain yield. Direct negative effect on yield was shown by plant height (-0.36), days to heading (-0.68) and spike length (-1.01). It was also supported by Matin *et al.*, (2019) and Aklilu *et al.*, (2020) found that direct negative effect on grain yield was shown by plant height. This was an indication of indirect selection. The direct negative effect on yield was also mentioned in a study of Yadav *et al.*, (2019) found that days to 50% flowering contributed considerable negative direct effect on grain yield/plant, which indicated the effectiveness of indirect selection. Kumar *et al.*, (2017) found that length spike and plant height exerted substantial positive indirect effects on grain yield/plant via 1000-grains weight.

Table 6: The phenotypic correlation coefficients among the studied traits of the selected families in the F_4 -generation in barley under Ras-Sudr conditions; season 2018/2019.

				-,			
Traits	X2	X3	X4	X5	X6	X7	X8
Day to heading X1	0.94**	-0.79**	-0.73**	-0.87**	-0.62**	-0.80**	-0.60**
Days to maturity X2		-0.77**	-0.69**	-0.84**	-0.58**	-0.73**	-0.51**
Plant height X3			0.85**	0.77**	0.70**	0.85**	0.65**
No. of spikes/plant X4				0.88**	0.83**	0.86**	0.74**
Spike lengthX5					0.85**	0.92**	0.68**
No. of grains/spike X6						0.89**	0.75**
Seed indexX7							0.74**
Grain yield/plant X8							

*,** : Denote significance at P ≤ 0.05 and 0.01 probability level, respectively.

 Table 7: Path analysis for direct effect (diagonal values) and indirect effect of 7 quantitative traits in grain yield/plant in the F4-generation in barley under Ras-Sudr conditions; season 2018/2019.

Traits	Days to heading	Days to maturity	Plant height (cm)	No. of spikes /plant	Spike length (cm)	No. of grains /spike	Seed index
Days to heading	-0.678	-0.637	0.535	0.495	0.590	0.420	0.542
Days to maturity	0.104	0.111	-0.085	-0.077	-0.093	-0.064	-0.081
Plant height (cm)	0.288	0.280	-0.364	-0.309	-0.280	-0.255	-0.309
No. of spikes/plant	-0.506	-0.478	0.589	0.693	0.610	0.575	0.596
Spike length (cm)	0.882	0.851	-0.780	-0.892	-1.013	-0.861	-0.932
No. of grains/spike	-0.335	-0.314	0.378	0.449	0.459	0.541	0.481
Seed index	-0.355	-0.324	0.377	0.381	0.408	0.395	0.443
Grain vield/plant (g)	-0.600	-0.510	0.650	0.740	0.680	0.750	0.740

3.4. Cluster analysis

The objective of cluster analysis is to identify superior genotypes under Ras-Sudr conditions (salt stress conditions) after clustering them based on their diversity in performance under salt conditions. The genotypes were grouped into three clusters Figure 1., and there was a high degree of genetic divergence between the results obtained based on D2 values. The number of genotypes were included in Cluster I (12), cluster II (9) and cluster III (6). Based on the means calculated over the genotypes included in each cluster; cluster I showed the latest genotypes in earliness traits and lowest value of

other agronomic traits, followed by cluster II, cluster III exhibited earliness and highest other agronomic traits. This finding was agreement with Yadav *et al.*, (2018), Ghimire and Mahat (2019), Al Lawati *et al.*, (2021) and Moustafa (2021).



Fig. 1: Hierarchical cluster analysis dendrogram (Ward's method) and pattern map of the values of the qualitative traits of 27 barley genotypes.

4. Conclusion

Grain yield/plant had a high phenotypic variation coefficient, indicating that there is enough variety for genetic improvement by selection. Breeding genotypes and their parents were divided into three categories based on their performance in salt conditions using hierarchical clustering: highly, intermediately, and poorly. Furthermore, broad sense heritability ranged from 70.61 to 96.52 for all investigated characteristics. The genetic progress, expressed as a percentage of mean projections, varied from 4.82 for days to maturity to 45.14 for grain yield/plant. High heritability with high genetic advance was observed for No. of spikes/plant, seed index and grain yield/plant However phenotypic correlation coefficients displayed positive and highly associations between grain yield/plant with plant height, No. of spikes/plant, spike length, No. of grains/spike and seed index. Furthermore, the number of spikes/plant, grains/spike, seed index, and days to maturity had the most positive direct influence on grain yield, whereas plant height, days to heading, and spike length had the greatest negative direct effect on yield, indicating the relevance of these variables in improving production.

References

- Abdel-Ghani, A.H., 2013. Selection of high yielding lines from heterogeneous Jordanian barley landraces under well-watered and drought stress conditions. Egyp. J. of Agri. Sci., 2013 (64): 13 26. https://doi.org/10.21608/ejarc.2013.213631.
- Addisu, F. and T. Shumet, 2015. Variability, heritability and genetic advance for some yield and yield related traits in barley (*Hordeum vulgare*, L.) landraces in ethiopia. Int. J. of Plant Breed. and Genet., 9 (2): 68 76.
- Ahmadi, J., B. Vaezi and A. Pour-Aboughadareh, 2016. Analysis of variability, heritability and interrelationships among grain yield and related characters in barley advanced lines. Gene., 48 (1): 73 – 85.

- Aklilu, E., T. Dejene and F. Worede, 2020. Genotypic and phenotypic correlation and path coefficient analysis for yield and yield related Traits in barley (*Hordeum vulgare*, L.) landraces in north gondar, ethiopia. Indian J. Pure and Appl. Biosci., 8 (3): 24 – 36.
- Al Lawati, A.H., S.K. Nadaf, N.A. AlSaady, S.A. Al Hinai, A.R. Almamari and A.A. Al Maawali, 2021. Genetic diversity of Omani barley (*Hordeum vulgare*, L.) germplasm. J. Open Agri., 6: 628 – 639.
- Aynewa, Y., T. Dessalegn and W. Bayu, 2015. Correlation and genetic variability estimate of malt barley (*Hordeum vulgare*, L.). Int. J. Adv. Res. Biol. Sci., 2 (3): 79 85.
- Azimi, A.M., S. Marker and I. Bhattacharjee, 2017. Genotypic and phenotypic variability and correlation analysis for yield and its components in late sown wheat (*Triticum aestivum*, L.). J.of Pharma. and Phytochem., 6: 167 – 173.
- Black, C.A., D.D. Evan., L.E. Ensminger, J.L. White and F.E. Clark, 1965. Methods of soil analysis (chemical and microbiological properties, part 2). Amer. Soc. Agron., 142 p.
- Comstock, R.E. and H.F. Robinson, 1952. Genetic parameters, their estimation and significance. Proc. 6. Tnt. Congr. 1: 284 291.
- Deshmukh, S.N.N., M.S. Basu and P.S. Reddy, 1986. Genetic variability, character association and path coefficients of quantitative traits in Virginia bunch varieties of groundnut. Indian J. of Agri. Sci., 1986 (56): 816 – 821.
- Dido, A.A., M.S.R. Krishna, B.J.K. Singh, K. Tesfaye and D.T. Degefu, 2020. Assessment of variability of yield affecting metric characters in barley (*Hordeum vulgare*, L.) landraces. Res. on Crops, 21(3): 587 – 594.
- Dinsa, T., F. Mekbib and T. Letta, 2018. Genetic variability, heritability and genetic advance of yield and yield related traits of food barley (*Hordeum vulgare*, L.) genotypes in Mid Rift valley of Ethiopia. Adv. in Crop Sci. and Tech., 6 (5): 2 4.
- Dyulgerov, N. and B. Dyulgerova, 2020a. Heritability and genetic advance of yield and yield related traits in winter feed barley varieties. Trak. J. Sci., 18 (1): 40 46.
- El-Banna, M.N., M.A. Nassar, M.N. Mohamed and M.A. Boseely, 2011. Evaluation of 16 barley genotypes under calcareous soil conditions in Egypt. J. of Agri. Sci., 3: 105 121. https://doi: 10.5539/jas.v3n1p105.
- Enyew, M., T. Dejene, B. Lakew and F. Worede, 2019. Clustering and principal component analysis of Barley (*Hordeum volugare*, L.) Landraces for major morphological traits from Northwestern Ethiopia. Inter. J. of Agri. Sci. and Food Tech., 5: 058 – 063.
- Eshghi, R., J. Ojaghi and S. Salayeva, 2011. Genetic gain through selection indices in hulless barley. Inter. J. of agri. and biolo., 13: 191 – 197.
- FAO, 2022. Food and Agriculture Organization of United Nations. Available at http://www.FAO.org.
- Ghimire, N.H. and P.M. Mahat, 2019. Variability, heritability and genetic advance of advanced breeding lines of barley (*Hordeum vulgare*, L.) under mountain environment of Nepal. Int. J. Adv. Res. in Bio. Sci., 6 (11): 34 – 42.
- Iannucci, A., S. Suriano and P. Codianni, 2021. Genetic diversity for agronomic traits and phytochemical compounds in coloured naked barley lines. Plants, 10 (1575): 1 15.
- Jamshidi, A. and H.R. Javanmard, 2018. Evaluation of barley (*Hordeum vulgare*, L.) genotypes for salinity tolerance under field conditions using the stress indices. Ain Sham. Engineer. J., 9: 2093 – 2099. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.asej.2017.02.006.
- Johnson, H.W., H.F. Robinson and R.E. Comstock, 2010. Estimates of genetic and environmental variability in soybeans 1. Agro. J., 47 (7): 314 318.
- Katiyar, A., A. Sharma, S. Singh, A. Srivastava and S.R. Vishwakarma, 2020. A study on genetic variability and heritability in barley (*Hordeum vulgare*, L.). Inter. J. of Curr. Microbio. and Appl. Sci., 9: 243 – 247.
- Kaur, V., J. Aravind, Manju, S.R. Jacob, J. Kumari, B.S. Panwar, N. Pal, J.C. Rana, A. Pandey and A. Kumar, 2022. Phenotypic characterization, genetic diversity assessment in 6,778 accessions of barley (*Hordeum vulgare*, L. ssp. *vulgare*) germplasm conserved in national genebank of India and development of a core set. Front. Plant Sci. 13 (Article771920): 1–17.
- Kumar, A., J. Kumar, B. Bharti, P.N. Verma, J.P. Jaiswal, G.P. Singh and S.R. Vishwakarma, 2017. Genotypic correlation and path coefficient analysis for yield and yield contributing traits in released varieties of barley (*Hordeum vulgare*, L.) under partially reclaimed saline sodic soil. J. Appl. and Nat. Sci., 9 (1): 192 – 195.

- Kumar, M. and S.S. Shekhawat, 2013. Genetic variability in barley (*Hordeum vulgare,* L.). Electro. J. Plant Breed., 4 (4): 1309 1312.
- Kumar, Y., R.A.S. Lamba, S.R. Verma and R. Niwas, 2013. Genetic variability for yield and its components in barley (*Hordeum vulgare*, L.). Forage Res., 39 (2): 67 70.
- Kumar, Y., N. Kumar, O.P. Bishnoi and S. Devi, 2018. Estimation of genetic parameters and character association in barley (*Hordeum vulgare*, L.) under irrigated condition. Forage Res., 44 (1): 56 59.
- Kumari, A., S.R. Vishwakarma, O.P. Verma and H. Yadav, 2019. Assessment of nature and magnitude of genetic variability, heritability and genetic advance for yield component traits of barley. Int. J. Genet., 11 (9): 646 – 649.
- Lodhi, R., L.C. Prasad, A.H. Madakemohekar, S.S. Bornare and R. Prasad , 2015. Study of genetic parameters for yield and yield contributing trait of elite genotypes of barley (*Hordeum vulgare*, L.). Indian Res. J. Genet. and Biotech., 7 (1): 17 21.
- Mansour, E. and E.S.A. Moustafa, 2016. Estimation of combining ability and genetic components for yield contributing traits in spring barley under normal and salinity conditions. Egypti. J. of Agro., 38: 431 – 453.
- Marzougui, S. and A. Chargui, 2018. Estimation of correlation, regression and heritability among barley (*Hordeum vulgare*, L.) accessions. J. New Sci., Agric. and Biotech. 60 (2): 3838 3843.
- Matin, M.Q.I., M. Amiruzzaman, M.M. Billah, M.B. Banu, N. Naher and D.A. Choudhury, 2019. Genetic variability and path analysis studies in barley (*Hordeum vulgare*, L.). Int. J. App. Sci. and Biotech., 7 (2): 243 – 247.
- Melchinger, A., M. Messmer, M. Lee, W. Woodman and K. Lamkey, 1991. Diversity and relationships among US maize inbreeds reveal by restriction fragment length polymorphisms. Crop Sci., 31 (3): 621 – 678.
- Moustafa, E.S.A., 2021. Assessment of genetic variations and interrelationships among agronomic traits in advanced breeding barley lines under salinity condition. Egypt. J. Des. Res., 71 (1): 1 22.
- Naghaii, V. and M.R. Asgharipour, 2011. Difference in drought stress responses of 20 barley genotypes with contrasting drought tolerance during grain filling. Adv. in Enviro. Biolo., 2011 (5): 3042 3049.
- Negash, G., D. Lule and Z. Jalata, 2021. Estimation of genetic variability, heritability and genetic advance among Ethiopian food barley (*Hordeum vulgare*, L.) landraces for yield and yield related traits. Int. J. Agri. Agri. Sci., 6 (3): 185 192.
- Piper, C.S., 1950. Soil and plant analysis. Interscience Publishers Inc., New York, 151 172.
- SAS, 2003. Statistical Analysis System. SAS Release 9.1 for windows, SAS Institute Inc. Cary, NC, USA.
- Segherloo, A., S.A. Mohammadi, B. Sadeghzadeh and M. Kamrani, 2016. Study of heritability and genetic advance of agronomic traits in barley (*Hordeum vulgare*, L.) and graphic analysis of trait relations by biplot. Jord. J. Agri. Sci., 12: 299 – 310.
- Singh, B.D., 2001. Plant Breeding: Principles and methods. Kalyani Publishers, New Delhi., 896 p.
- Singh, R.K. and B.D. Choudhary, 1985. Biometrical Methods in Quantitative Genetic Analysis, Kalyani Publishers (Rev. Ed., 1985), Ludhiana, 39 68.
- Sivasubramanian, S. and P. Madhavamenon, 1973. Genotypic and phenotypic variability in rice. Madras Agri. J., 60: 1093 1096.
- Steel, R.G.D., J.H. Torrie and D.A. Dickey, 1997. In: "Principals and Procedures of Statistics: A Biometrical Approach", 3rd Ed. McGrawHill: New York, 172 177.
- Tofiq, S.E., T.N.H. Amin, S.M.S. Abdulla and D.A. Abdulkhaleq, 2015. Correlation and path coefficient analysis of grain yield and yield components in some barley genotypes created by full diallel analysis in Sulaim region for F₂ generation. Int. J. Plant, Anim. Enviro. Sci., 5 (4): 76 80.
- Vinesh, B., L.C. Prasad, R. Prasad and K. Madhukar, 2018. Association studies of yield and it's attributing traits in indigenous and exotic Barley (*Hordeum vulgare*, L.) germplasm. J. Pharm. and Phyto., 7 (5): 1500 – 1502.
- Walker, J.T., 1960. The use of selection index technique in the analysis of progeny, new data. Emp. Cott. Gr. Rev., 37: 81 107.
- Yadav, A.S. and G. Singh, 2021. Estimation of genetic variability expected genetic advance, correlation and path analysis in barley (*Hordeum vulgare*, L.). The Pharma Innov. J., 10: 561 563.

- Yadav, K., K.N. Maurya, S.P. Shrivastava, V. Singh, K. Lal, S.K. Maurya and H. Yadav, 2019. Assessment of genetic variability, correlation and path coefficient for yield and its contributing traits in exotic and indigenous barley (*Hordeum vulgare*, L.). Int. J. Chem. Stu., 7 (2): 1584 – 1587.
- Yadav, H.C., S.K Singh, P.K Gupta, P.C Yadav and J.P. Chaurasiya, 2018. Studies on path coefficient analysis and genetic divergence in feed barley (*Hordeum vulgare, L.*). J. Pharma. and Phyto., 7 (2): 613 616.
- Zhu, J., Y. Fan, S. Shabala, C. Li, C. Lv, B. Guo, R. Xu and M. Zhou, 2020. Understanding mechanisms of salinity tolerance in barley by proteomic and biochemical analysis of near-isogenic lines. Int. J. Mol. Sci., 21: 1516 – 1529.