## Middle East Journal of Agriculture Research Volume: 11 | Issue: 04| Oct. – Dec.| 2022

EISSN: 2706-7955 ISSN: 2077-4605 DOI: 10.36632/mejar/2022.11.4.70 Journal homepage: www.curresweb.com Pages: 1091-1102



# Impact of different rates and dates of phosphorus applications on growth parameters, yield and quality in Egyptian clover

## Walaa M. E. Mousa, Mervat R.I. Sayed and Magda N. Rajab

Forage Crops Res. Dept., I	Field Crops Res. Inst., Agric. Res	. Cent. (ARC), Giza, Egypt
Received: 15 Oct. 2022	Accepted: 07 Nov. 2022	Published: 15 Nov. 2022

## ABSTRACT

Phosphorus (P) rates and its date of application is considered some of the most important factor affecting crop growth, yield and nutritive value in Egyptian clover. Two field experiments were conducted at Sids Agriculture Research Station, Bani Sweif Governorate. ARC, Egypt, during the winter growing seasons of 2018/2019 and 2019/2020 to study the impact of use different rates and date of phosphorus applications on growth parameters, forage yield and quality in Egyptian clover (var. Giza 6). The experimental treatments were arranged in a split plot design with three replicates. The main plots represented three different rates of phosphorus (A1=100, A2=150 and A3=200 kg fed<sup>-1</sup> superphosphate), whereas the sub-plots included the three dates of phosphorus applications (B1= one dose before planting, B2= two equal doses before planting and the second before first irrigation and B3= two equal doses one before first irrigation and the second before second irrigation). The main obvious results of this study could be summarized as follows; the rates of phosphorus applications had a significant effect on all traits under study of clover crop and maximum values were obtained with A3 treatment but it was on a par with A2 treatment. On the contrary, minimum values for the corresponding respective characters were recorded with A1 treatment. It could be stated that all traits were gradually increased due to applying the assessed rates of phosphorus. Whilst, in case of the dates of phosphorus application, data indicated that B2 treatment were superior compared with the other treatments for all traits. The highest values of interaction were obtained with A3 treatment and B2 treatment for growth parameters, yield and quality. Besides that, a feasibility study proved that Egyptian clover is economically advisable under treatment (200 kg fed<sup>-1</sup>) superphosphate added at two equal doses the first before planting and the second before first irrigation, where the maximum total revenue, net return and net return per one invested recorded (37705.5, 26185.5, 2.27 L.E.), respectively. In nutshell, optimization of P fertilization and attention to the time of addition improves the productivity and quality of the forage in Egyptian clover.

Keywords: Phosphorus application, Egyptian clover, forage yield and quality.

## 1. Introduction

Egyptian clover (*Trifolium alexandrinum* L.) is a winter annual legume widely grown in several countries for fresh fodder, hay and silage and is the most important forage crop for irrigated areas in Egypt where plays a vital role in the sustainability of agriculture production (Azab *et al.*, 2010). It is ranked as the first forage crop in Egypt, particularly the multi cut type which is the most important toproviding fodder for a long duration. It is considered as the most important rab, fodder crop and the most potential crop from productivity, better forage quality, high digestibility and palatability as well as maintenance of soil fertility (Singh *et al.*, 2019).

In Egypt, the most limiting factor for animal wealth development is the lack of local feed due to the wide gap between the consumed and available local feed sources (ACSAD, 2008). Therefore, Egyptian clover is not only endogenous to Egypt but also the principal forage crop and occupying about 2.0–2.8 million Fadden (El-Nahrawy, 2005).

Fodder crops play a vital role in agriculture because, the supply of nutritious fodders in sufficient amount is a basic requirement for livestock to fulfill the increasing demand of milk, butter and other

Corresponding Author: Walaa M. E. Mousa, Forage Crops Res. Dept., Field Crops Res. Inst., Agric. Res. Cent. (ARC), Giza, Egypt

dairy by products for utilization by human beings (Godara *et al.*, 2016). Besides that, the animal wealth development is depending mainly on berseem in the winter season because most of the animal protein requirement is fulfilled with feeding on berseem (ACSAD, 2008). It is considered a principal source of animal feed for the most whole year specifically the smallholders due to its high yielding and quality potential.

Fertilizer application is considered to be a major limiting factor (Sainju *et al.*, 2019) where the correctand judies fertilizer application can enhance yield up to 50 % and can also improve the quality of fodder (Roy *et al.*, 2015). Among all the primary nutrients, phosphorus is one of the key mineral nutrients for developing root system which helps in absorption of nutrients, which supported better yield, is very important where water is not abundant under rained condition (Azab *et al.*, 2010).

Gaballah (1996& 2001) noticed that number of stem/ plant, straw and seed yield and their attributes were significantly increased by increasing P rates from 15.5 to 46.5 kg  $P_2O_5$  ha<sup>-1</sup>. Virender (1999) reported that the application of 80  $P_2O_5$  /ha. Significantly increased fodder and seed yield of Egyptian clover over control. Also, Nadiam *et al.*, (2005) reported that the dry mass of shoots and roots of Egyptian were significant increased by phosphorus application. On the other hand, Singh (1979) found the application of 120 kg  $P_2O_5$  ha<sup>-1</sup> had no significant effect on yield productions. Also, (Aly 1989) reported that the differences among phosphorus rates (0, 15.5 and 31.0 kg  $P_2O_5$  ha<sup>-1</sup>) applied to berseem were not significant.

Phosphorus deficiency significantly reduces the plant growth (Marschner 1997) where phosphorus plays an important role in photosynthesis and the synthesis of nucleic acids, lipid, proteins and other important compound (Guinn 1984) and (Arredondo *et al.*, 2014). The phosphorus is immobile in the soil and due to its immobility the unused phosphorus applied as fertilizer that remains in the soil to be available for the next crops (Read *et al.*, 1973) and (Raghothama 1999).

Berseem clover is highly nutritive forage contains 15.8-26.7 % crude protein, 14.9-28.3 % crude fiber, 1.4-3.0 % ether extract, 1.4 - 2.58 % calcium and 2.22 - 2.46% phosphorus content (Mohsen *et al.*, 2011). Also, forage quality is of great importance in terms of animal production, it is determined by the content of different nutrients such as minerals, crude protein and fiber component.

The application of phosphorous is important and directly contributes to the quality as several researchers have reported that phosphorus fertilization increases crude protein, crude fiber, ether extract and ash while the contents of dry matter and NFE tended to decrease with increasing phosphorus fertilization levels Tongel and Albayrak (2006); Türk *et al.*, (2007) and Satpal *et al.*, (2020). Tongel and Albayrak (2006) studied the effect of four different phosphorus rates (0, 5, 10 and 15 kg da<sup>-1</sup>) for quality and determined of highest quality in the parcels having 10 kg da<sup>-1</sup> phosphorus application but there were no difference among 5 and 10 kg da<sup>-1</sup> phosphorus application.

According to Hamid and Sarwar (1977), the crop uses only 15-33 % of the applied P. So dividing the fertilizer dose of phosphorus application tent to increases the utilization at different stage of growing plants. The yield of clover is highly affected by environmental factors making farmers look for solutions for stability in production. According, an experiment was done to determine the best quantity and date to maximize the fertilizer use efficiencies of phosphate application which may gave high yield and quality of Egyptian clover.

Keeping the views of the above aspects, the present research is undertaken to identify the effect of use phosphorus fertilizer at different doses and dates on forage yield and quality of berseem.

#### 2. Materials and Methods

Two field trials were conducted at Sids Agricultural Research Station, ARC, during 2018/2019 and 2019/2020 winter seasons to study the effect of different rates of phosphorus and dates of application on productivity and quality of Egyptian clover var. Giza 6. A representative soil samples were collected from the top 20 cm layer in the experimental fields, air-dried and sieved through a 2 mm screen. The main physical and chemical properties were determined using the methods described by Piper (1950), Cottenie *et al.*, (1982), Page *et al.*, (1982) and Amanullah and Khan, 2010); coarse sand 6.44%, fine sand 20.14%, silt 32.07, clay 41.35, soil texture class was clay, pH 7.71, organic matter 0.83%, EC 1.18 dSm<sup>-1</sup>, CaCO<sub>3</sub> 5.62%, available N, P, K was 46.11, 5.32 and 176 mg kg<sup>-1</sup>, respectively.

The experimental design was a split plot with three replicates, plot size was  $16 \text{ m}^2$  (4 x 4 m). Phosphorus treatments were assigned to main plots; whereas dates of application were assigned to subplots. The following treatments were tested:

- A- Main plots represented the rates of phosphorus application in form of superphosphate (15.5 %  $P_2O_5$ ) as follows:
- A<sub>1</sub>- 100 kg fed<sup>-1</sup> superphosphate.
- A<sub>2-</sub>150 kg fed<sup>-1</sup> superphosphate.
- A<sub>3</sub>. 200 kg fed<sup>-1</sup> superphosphate.

B- Sub plots represented the dates of phosphorus application as follows:

- B<sub>1</sub>- One dose before planting (control).
- B<sub>2</sub>. Two equal doses (the first before planting, the second before first irrigation).
- B<sub>3</sub>. Two equal doses (the first before first irrigation and the second before second irrigation). Nitrogen fertilizer 30 kg fed<sup>-1</sup> was applied in the form of urea (46.5 % N) before the first irrigation.

Seeding rate was 20 kg fed<sup>-1</sup>. Sowing date was 17<sup>th</sup> and 20<sup>th</sup> October in 2018/2019 and 2019/2020 seasons, respectively. Recommended cultural practices were followed throughout the growing season.

## 2.1. Data recorded

## 2.1.1. Growth parameters and yields.

- Plant height (cm).
- Leaves/ stem ratio.
- Fresh and dry yields at each cut and total fresh and dry yields (ton fed<sup>-1</sup>).

## **2.1.2.** Chemical constituent:

Samples of forage were dried to constant weight using a forced air oven at 70 °C. Dry forage was ground by hummer mill and kept in labeled plastic bags for chemical analysis. Mixed plant samples from the five cuts were analyzed at the forage lab at Giza Research Station to determine:

- Crude protein (CP%): Total nitrogen was determined in the dry matter by using the modified microkjeldahl method as described in A.O.A.C. (2000), the crude protein content was calculated by multiplying the total nitrogen percentage by the factor of 6.25.
- Crude fibers (CF %) and ash % were estimated according to the method described in the A.O.A.C. (2000).
- Ether Extract (EE %) was extracted using petroleum ether (40-60°C boiling point) in a soxhlet apparatus provided with cold water condenser for 9 hours at a rate of 6 siphons/hour (A.O.A.C., 2000).
- Organic matter (OM %) was estimated by using the following equation: OM % = 100 (Ash %).
- Nitrogen Free Extract (NFE) content was estimated by subtracting the sum of the contents of crude protein, crude fiber, ash and ether extract out of 100.

NFE % = 100 - (CP % + CF % + EE % + Ash %)

- Total digestible nutrients (TDN) was estimated according to Adams *et al.*, (1964), using the following equation: TDN=74.43 + 0.35 CP 0.73 CF.
- Digestible crude protein (DCP) was calculated according to Bredon *et al.*, (1963) using the following equation:

- Phosphorus (P %) was analyzed and determined according to the A.O.A.C. (2000).

#### 2.2. Economic evaluation

## Economic study involved the following parameters:

- Mean values of input variables and the total costs of crop production including fertilizer treatments and cultural practices applied during the vegetative stages of berseem.
- Net farm income of crop for various fertilization treatments.
- Net farm return of crop production as affected by applied treatments.

It's calculated as the difference between the forage yield value (according to the actual price) and the total costs. All fertilizers and seed prices as well as the costs of all farm operations were assigned based on the official and the actual market prices determined by the Egyptian Ministry of also reported by Galbiatti *et al.*, (2011). Total costs included all values of production inputs and other general or different costs.

#### 2.3. Statistical Analysis

Data were statistically analyzed according to Snedecor and Cochran (1990) and treatment means were compared by least significant difference test (LSD) at 0.05 level of significance. Bartlett's test was done to test the homogeneity of error variance. The test was not significant for all assessed traits, so, the two seasons data were combined.

## 3. Results and Discussion

Mean values of the growth parameters and forage yield for Egyptian clover as affected by rates of phosphorus applications, dates of phosphorus applications and the interactions are presented in Tables (1 & 2). The improvement in growth and yield traits owing to the pivotal impact of phosphorus on plant process, cell growth metabolism and root elongation in the plant tissues (Wissuwa *et al.*, 2009).

## **3.1. Growth parameters**

Plant height (cm) and leaves/stem ratio (%) at different cuts of berseem as combined were illustrated in Table 1. Analysis of variance showed significant differences among all treatments under study, but there were no significant differences between A2 and A3 treatments in plant height and leaves/ stem ratio.

Table 1: Effect of rates and dates of phosphorus applications on plant height and leaves/ster	n ratio of
Egyptian clover (combined across the two seasons).	

Treatments		· · · ·		ight (cn		scasona	Leaves/stem ratio							
	Cut 1	Cut 2	Cut 3	Cut 4	Cut 5	Mean	Cut1	Cut2	Cut 3	Cut 4	Cut 5	Mean		
			Rate	s of pho	sphorus	applica	tions (K	(g fed <sup>-1</sup> )						
$\mathbf{A}_{1}$	65.93	68.11	75.03	72.49	79.81	72.27	0.403	0.572	0.939	0.741	1.049	0.741		
A2	68.34	70.63	77.61	75.04	82.45	74.81	0.463	0.635	1.04	0.791	1.165	0.818		
<b>A</b> 3	70.66	72.99	79.85	77.39	84.65	77.11	0.505	0.679	1.08	0.826	1.204	0.859		
L.S.D 0.05	2.38	2.45	2.31	2.49	2.45	2.49	0.053	0.049	0.054	0.049	0.064	0.064		
Dates of phosphorus applications														
$\mathbf{B}_1$	68.60	70.88	77.87	75.33	82.71	75.08	0.474	0.649	1.012	0.802	1.152	0.818		
$\mathbf{B}_2$	69.34	71.64	78.61	76.07	83.34	75.80	0.488	0.662	1.096	0.809	1.187	0.848		
<b>B</b> <sub>3</sub>	66.98	69.20	76.01	73.51	80.86	73.31	0.410	0.575	0.945	0.748	1.078	0.751		
L.S.D 0.05	0.68	0.54	0.65	0.49	0.59	0.65	0.009	0.007	0.021	0.005	0.028	0.006		
					Inte	raction								
<b>A1X B1</b>	66.85	69.17	76.12	73.58	80.96	73.34	0.439	0.614	0.981	0.767	1.071	0.774		
A1 X B2	67.19	69.45	76.46	73.92	81.30	73.66	0.452	0.627	0.994	0.78	1.154	0.801		
A1 X B3	63.75	65.71	72.52	69.98	77.16	69.82	0.319	0.474	0.841	0.677	0.921	0.646		
A <sub>2</sub> X B <sub>1</sub>	68.62	70.88	77.89	75.35	82.73	75.09	0.465	0.64	0.997	0.793	1.167	0.812		
A <sub>2</sub> X B <sub>2</sub>	68.95	71.21	78.22	75.68	83.06	75.42	0.477	0.652	1.119	0.805	1.179	0.846		
A <sub>2</sub> X B <sub>3</sub>	67.45	69.79	76.72	74.08	81.56	73.92	0.447	0.612	0.989	0.775	1.149	0.794		
A <sub>3</sub> X B <sub>1</sub>	70.34	72.60	79.61	77.07	84.45	76.81	0.517	0.692	1.059	0.845	1.219	0.866		
A <sub>3</sub> X B <sub>2</sub>	71.89	74.25	81.16	78.62	85.65	78.31	0.535	0.706	1.177	0.843	1.227	0.898		
A <sub>3</sub> X B <sub>3</sub>	69.75	72.11	78.78	76.48	83.86	76.20	0.463	0.638	1.005	0.791	1.165	0.812		
L.S.D 0.05	2.02	1.85	2.11	2.34	1.92	2.07	0.043	0.059	0.045	0.031	0.048	0.035		

A1= 100 kg fed <sup>-1</sup> superphosphate A2= 150 kg fed <sup>-1</sup> superphosphate A3= 200 kg fed <sup>-1</sup> superphosphate B1= one dose before planting B2 = two equal doses before planting and the second before first irrigation B3= two equal doses one before first irrigation and the second before second irrigation.

A Result of A3 treatment recorded 77.11 cm and 0.859 % in plant height and leaves/ stem ratio as means over all cuts, followed by A2 treatment which recorded 74.81 cm and 0.818 % for the same traits, respectively, while the lowest mean values were obtained with treatment A1 (72.27 cm and 0.741%) respectively. Similar effect of P fertilizer on clustar bean was reported by Ayub *et al.*, (2012

and 2013). The development and rapid growth of plant with the highest rate of P was reported by Chintapalli *et al.*, (2012) who mentioned that the potential cause might be due to that soils low in P, so it will adsorb large amounts from its, when P was applied at the highest level was helped plants of berssem to attain maximum growth parameters.

Akram *et al.*, (2022) recorded a highly significant effect with the increasing level of phosphorus on plant height of berseem. Besides that, Roy *et al.*, (2015) and Godara *et al.*, (2016) reported that the highest significant plant height and leaves/stem % of berseem with 100 kg  $P_2O_5$  ha<sup>-1</sup> but it was on a par with 80 kg  $P_2O_5$  ha<sup>-1</sup>. Although the difference between 150 kg fed<sup>-1</sup> and 200 kg fed<sup>-1</sup> superphosphate rates not reach to a large extent, so we can use 150 kg fed<sup>-1</sup> treatment to provide fertilizer.

Results in Table (1) showed that plant height and leaves/stem ratio of Egyptian clover was significantly affected by dates of applying phosphorus. Where, the treatment of B2 was superior in plant height and leaves/ stem ratio, recording 75.80 cm and 0.848 % as mean values over all cuts, followed by the treatment of B1 that recorded 75.08 cm and 0.818 % for the same traits respectively.

In comparison, B3 treatment had the lowest values (73.31 cm and 0.751%) for growth parameters under study.

Application of phosphorus fertilizers before sowing increased vegetative growth of Egyptian clover compared to late application. These results are comparable with Amanullah *et al.*, (2010) who stated that the increase in the plant height and leaves/stem ratio might be due to available phosphours utilization and more assimilation during the growth period. This might be due to P fixation in the soil and reduced its availability when applied too earlier from planting, therefore adequate P should be applied at nearly sowing to reduce P fixation.

The effect of the interaction between rates and dates of phosphorus applications was significant on the investigated traits (Table 1). The maximum values were obtained by the interaction between A3 treatment and B2 followed by A3 and B1 treatment which recorded (78.31 and 76.81 cm) for plant height and (0.898 and 0.866%) for leaves/ stem ratio, respectively. On the other hand, A1and B3 treatment recorded the minimum values for plant height and leaves/ stem ratio (69.82cm and 0.646%), respectively. These results agree with those reported by Singh *et al.*, (1981) and Chaudhary *et al.*, (1987) who found that application of  $P_2O_5$  at the dates of planting was high significant efficient in berseem for growth parameters.

#### 3.2. Fresh and dry yields

Rates of phosphorus applications, its application dates and interaction had a significant effect at each cut and total for fresh and dry yields of Egyptian clover (Table 2). Perusal of the data reveals that fodder yield increased with increasing levels of phosphorus from 100 to 200 kg superphosphate fed<sup>-1</sup>. Among different P levels, the highest total fresh yield (82.07 ton fed<sup>-1</sup>) and total dry yield (12.37 ton fed<sup>-1</sup>) were recorded with the application of A3 treatment which was significantly superior to the lower phosphorus levels. Similar results were obtained by Devi and Satpal (2019) who observed that highest green fodder yield and dry matter yield were recorded with application of 100 P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> kg /ha followed by 80 P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>kg /ha but significantly superior to 60 P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> kg /ha. In this study, the analysis of variance between A2 and A3 treatments had no significant differences in fresh and dry yield ton fed<sup>-1</sup>. Whilst, A1 treatment revealed the lowest values for total fresh and dry yields (75.22 and 11.33 ton fed<sup>-1</sup>) respectively. The researchers also reported that the highest green and dry yields of berseem with 90 P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> kg/ha but was equivalent to 60 P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> kg /ha (Saeed *et al.*, 2011) and (Mahmooda *et al.*, 2018). Also, Akram *et al.*, (2022) concluded that applying 100 kg/ha of phosphorous fertilizer to berseem we can easily obtain the maximum green fodder yield (92.33 t/ha).

Since the state of P during the early stages of crop development is important in determining possible crop yield, Results in Table (2) demonstrate highly significant for fresh and dry yields at each cut and total by dates of applying phosphorus. Data revealed that B2 treatment had the highest total values for fresh yield (80.32 ton fed<sup>-1</sup>) and dry yield (12.35 ton fed<sup>-1</sup>), followed by B1 treatment which recorded (78.89 and 12.07 ton fed<sup>-1</sup>) respectively for the same traits. These results were consistent with the finding of Chaudhary *et al.*, (1987) and Amanullah *et al.*, (2010). However, the lowest values were obtained at B3 treatment which recorded 77.59 and 11.32 ton fed<sup>-1</sup> for total fresh and dry yield. Earlier application of phosphorous may have reduced the availability and its uptake by berssem and resulted in lowest yield. The increase in yield with the application of P by sowing may be lead to great values of

plant height and leaves/ stem ratio. Singh *et al.*, (1981) pointed to increasing in the forage and seed yields at the time of sowing for phosphorus application.

Table 2: Effect of rates and dates of ph	osphorus applications	on fresh and dry y	yields (ton fed <sup>-1</sup> ) of
Egyptian clover (combined acro	oss the two seasons).		

Fresh yield (ton fed <sup>-1</sup> )						/	D	ry yield	(ton fed	l <sup>-1</sup> )					
Treatments	Cut 1	Cut2	Cut 3	Cut4	Cut 5	Total	Cut 1	Cut 2	Cut 3	Cut 4	Cut 5	Total			
	Rates of phosphorus applications (Kg fed <sup>-1</sup> )														
$A_1$	9.14	15.70	16.81	18.43	15.15	75.22	1.88	2.11	2.34	2.99	2.01	11.33			
$A_2$	9.92	16.75	17.59	19.24	16.00	79.51	2.00	2.23	2.46	3.22	2.13	12.04			
<b>A</b> 3	10.42	17.24	18.09	19.86	16.46	82.07	2.05	2.28	2.51	3.35	2.18	12.37			
L.S.D 0.05	0.632	0.781	0.635	0.594	0.692	3.11	0.093	0.078	0.067	0.167	0.085	0.523			
Dates of phosphorus applications															
$\mathbf{B}_1$	9.82	16.57	17.49	19.14	15.86	78.89	2.01	2.24	2.47	3.23	2.14	12.07			
<b>B</b> <sub>2</sub>	10.05	16.93	17.72	19.46	16.16	80.32	2.05	2.28	2.51	3.32	2.18	12.35			
<b>B</b> <sub>3</sub>	9.61	16.20	17.28	18.93	15.58	77.59	1.87	2.1	2.33	3.02	2.00	11.32			
L.S.D 0.05	0.061	0.073	0.065	0.056	0.056	0.195	0.026	0.029	0.027	0.038	0.024	0.189			
					Inter	raction									
$A_1X B_1$	9.19	15.92	16.86	18.51	15.13	75.61	1.92	2.15	2.38	3.14	2.05	11.64			
A1 X B2	9.38	16.21	17.05	18.61	15.42	76.67	1.95	2.18	2.41	3.17	2.08	11.79			
A1 X B3	8.85	14.96	16.52	18.17	14.89	73.39	1.77	2.00	2.23	2.67	1.9	10.57			
A <sub>2</sub> X B <sub>1</sub>	9.93	16.76	17.60	19.25	16.07	79.61	2.02	2.25	2.48	3.24	2.15	12.14			
A <sub>2</sub> X B <sub>2</sub>	10.11	16.94	17.78	19.43	16.25	80.51	2.09	2.32	2.55	3.31	2.22	12.49			
A <sub>2</sub> X B <sub>3</sub>	9.73	16.56	17.40	19.05	15.67	78.41	1.89	2.12	2.35	3.11	2.02	11.49			
A <sub>3</sub> X B <sub>1</sub>	10.35	17.03	18.02	19.67	16.39	81.46	2.08	2.31	2.54	3.3	2.21	12.44			
A <sub>3</sub> X B <sub>2</sub>	10.67	17.63	18.34	20.34	16.81	83.79	2.12	2.35	2.58	3.48	2.25	12.78			
A3 X B3	10.24	17.07	17.91	19.56	16.18	80.96	1.95	2.18	2.41	3.27	2.08	11.89			
L.S.D 0.05	0.095	0.074	0.080	0.074	0.074	1.64	0.016	0.023	0.011	0.014	0.023	0.231			

A1= 100 kg fed <sup>-1</sup> superphosphate A2= 150 kg fed <sup>-1</sup> superphosphate A3= 200 kg fed <sup>-1</sup> superphosphate B1= one dose before planting B2 = two equal doses before planting and the second before first irrigation B3= two equal doses one before first irrigation and the second before second irrigation.

Data presented in Table (2) revealed that the interaction effect between rates and dates of phosphorus applications for fresh and dry yields was significant, as the rates and dates of phosphorus applications led to a significant increase in fresh and dry yields under the three investigated time of phosphorus applications to different extents. The highest total values were obtained by the interaction between A3 and B2 treatment followed by A3 and B1 treatment which recorded (83.79, 81.46 and 12.78, 12.44 ton fed<sup>-1</sup>) for total fresh and dry yields, respectively. Likewise, the application of P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> at the time of planting was high significant effective for maximum fodder yield in berseem (Chaudhary *et al.*, 1987). On the other hand, the lowest values were obtained by A1 treatment with B3 treatment that recorded (73.39 and 10.57 ton fed<sup>-1</sup>) for total fresh and dry yields, respectively.

#### **3.3.** Chemical constituent

Results of CP%, CF%, ash %, EE%, OM% and P% contents for Egyptian clover as affected by rates and dates of phosphorus applications and the interaction between them are presented in Table 3. Results indicated significant differences between rates of phosphorus applications. The highest values were obtained by using A3 treatment as revealed 19.77 % for CP, 29.62% for CF, 14.34 % for ash %, 3.34 % for EE and 2.59 % for P. On contrary, the lowest value was obtained by A1 treatment for CP (18.46%), CF (28.22%), ash (13.02%), EE (2.47%) and P (1.63%) except OM % where recorded the highest value 86.98%. Similar results were reported by (Mohsen *et al.*, 2011), (Seif and Saad 2014) and (Morsy and Awadalla 2017) who found that CP, CF, ash and EE % increased significantly with increasing phosphorous fertilization levels from 0 to 22.5 and even 45 kg  $P_2O_5$  fed<sup>-1</sup>. These results indicated the effect of phosphorous in enhancing the CP content of clover leaves as a major source of

energy transfer in all vital physiological activities and metabolic function. Also, Ayodele and Oso (2014) found that P application at planting would remain available to enhance efficient P use as indicated by the higher percentage of P in the index leaf on cowpea.

Regarding to dates of phosphorus application, combined analysis revealed significant differences in CP%, CF%, ash %, EE%, OM% and P% content. The treatment B2 ranked first for CP (19.44%), CF (29.25%), ash (14.00%), EE (3.07%) and P (2.29%) followed by B1. However, the lowest values were obtained by B3 treatment for CP%, CF%, ash %, EE% and P% except OM% that recorded the highest value with B3 treatment. Increase in forage quality due to time of phosphorus application was well documented by many authors Türk *et al.*, (2007) and Sürmen *et al.*, (2011). Beside that Ayodele and Oso (2014) found that P application at planting would remain available to enhance efficient P use as indicated by the higher percentage of P in leaves.

Combined analysis indicated significant interaction effect of the imposed factors under study on CP%, CF%, Ash %, EE%, OM% and P% content. The maximum values were obtained by the interaction among A3 treatment and B2 for all forage quality traits under study except OM% that recorded highest value for A1 with B3, whilst the minimum values were recorded with the interaction between A1 and B3 treatment for CP%, CF%, ash %, EE% and P%.

Treatment	CP%	CF%	Ash %	EE%	OM%	Р%								
	Rates of phos	phorus applica	tions (Kg fed <sup>-1</sup>	)										
Aı	18.46	28.22	13.02	2.47	86.98	1.63								
$\mathbf{A}_{2}$	19.26	29.08	13.82	3.12	86.18	2.35								
<b>A</b> 3	19.77	29.62	14.34	3.34	85.67	2.59								
L.S.D 0.05	0.713	0.643	0.625	0.361	0.693	0.156								
	Dates of phosphorus applications													
<b>B</b> 1	19.17	28.91	13.73	2.96	86.27	2.18								
$\mathbf{B}_2$	19.44	29.25	14.00	3.07	86.00	2.29								
<b>B</b> <sub>3</sub>	18.88	28.78	13.45	2.90	86.55	2.11								
L.S.D 0.05	0.083	0.069	0.079	0.048	0.132	0.041								
		Interaction												
A <sub>1</sub> X B <sub>1</sub>	18.54	28.29	13.10	2.43	86.90	1.60								
A <sub>1</sub> X B <sub>2</sub>	18.74	28.38	13.31	2.59	86.69	1.76								
A <sub>1</sub> X B <sub>3</sub>	18.10	27.99	12.67	2.38	87.33	1.54								
A <sub>2</sub> X B <sub>1</sub>	19.29	29.02	13.86	3.11	86.14	2.35								
A <sub>2</sub> X B <sub>2</sub>	19.51	29.32	14.07	3.19	85.93	2.43								
A <sub>2</sub> X B <sub>3</sub>	18.97	28.90	13.54	3.06	86.46	2.29								
A <sub>3</sub> X B <sub>1</sub>	19.67	29.47	14.23	3.34	85.77	2.59								
A <sub>3</sub> X B <sub>2</sub>	20.07	30.03	14.64	3.43	85.36	2.69								
A <sub>3</sub> X B <sub>3</sub>	19.57	29.43	14.14	3.26	85.86	2.50								
L.S.D 0.05	0.124	0.165	0.218	0.046	0.147	0.019								

 Table 3: Effect of rates and dates of phosphorus applications on CP%, CF%, Ash %, EE%, OM% and P% of Egyptian clover (combined across the two seasons).

A1= 100 kg fed <sup>-1</sup> superphosphate A2= 150 kg fed <sup>-1</sup> superphosphate A3= 200 kg fed <sup>-1</sup> superphosphate B1= one dose before planting B2 = two equal doses before planting and the second before first irrigation B3= two equal doses one before first irrigation and the second before second irrigation.

This trend of the presented increasing order of CP%, CF%, ash % and EE% contents phosphorus levels increase was recorded with significant differences of various magnitudes Kulik (2009), Devi and Satpal (2019) and Satpal *et al.*, (2020).

Data presented in Table (4) showed NFE%, TDN% and DCP% contents of Egyptian clover as affected by rates and dates of phosphorus applications and the interaction, regarding phosphorus rates, it is obviously clear that the TDN% and DCP% increased as phosphorus rates increased from 100 to 150 and up to 200 kg fed<sup>-1</sup> respectively. The respective values were 59.73, 59.94 and 60.29% for TDN,

14.16, 14.93 and 15.42% for DCP, while NFE% decreased as phosphorus rates increased recorded 37.83, 34.72 and 32.93%, respectively. Our results confirm the finding of Türk *et al.*, (2009), Sürmen *et al.*, (2011). The TDN refers to the nutrients that are available for livestock and are related to the CF concentration of the forage (Sürmen *et al.*, 2011).

From the combined analysis of dates of phosphorus application, data cleared that the treatment B3 had the highest values of NFE (35.99%). While, no significant differences were found between the treatment B1 and B3 in the content of TDN%, on the other hand DCP % gave the highest value at treatment B2 recorded 15.10%. These results agree with those reported by Singh *et al.*, (1981).

Results indicated significant interaction effects on NFE%, TDN% and DCP% contents. The highest values were obtained by the interaction A1 with B3 treatment that recorded 38.85 % for NFE%. While, TDN% gave the highest value by the interaction between treatment A3 and B3 recorded 60.33%. Whilst, DCP% gave the highest value by the interaction between treatment A3 and B2 recorded 15.71%. The findings are in harmony with the results Seif and Saad (2014) and Satpal *et al.*, (2020).

Treatment	NFE%	TDN%	DCP%
Rate	es of phosphorus aj	oplications (Kg fee	d <sup>-1</sup> )
$A_1$	37.83	59.73	14.16
A2	34.72	59.94	14.93
A3	32.93	60.29	15.42
L.S.D 0.05	1.90	0.274	0.575
	Dates of phosphor	rus applications	
$\mathbf{B}_1$	35.24	60.04	14.84
$\mathbf{B}_2$	34.25	59.88	15.10
<b>B</b> 3	35.99	60.03	14.57
L.S.D 0.05	0.643	0.021	0.079
	Interac	ction	
A <sub>1</sub> X B <sub>1</sub>	37.64	59.85	14.24
A <sub>1</sub> X B <sub>2</sub>	36.98	59.53	14.43
A <sub>1</sub> X B <sub>3</sub>	38.85	59.80	13.82
A <sub>2</sub> X B <sub>1</sub>	34.70	60.00	14.97
A <sub>2</sub> X B <sub>2</sub>	33.90	59.85	15.17
A <sub>2</sub> X B <sub>3</sub>	35.53	59.97	14.66
A <sub>3</sub> X B <sub>1</sub>	33.36	60.27	15.32
A <sub>3</sub> X B <sub>2</sub>	31.83	60.27	15.71
A3X B3	33.60	60.33	15.23
L.S.D 0.05	0.267	0.021	0.139

**Table 4:** Effect of rates and dates of phosphorus applications on NFE%, TDN% and DCP% of Egyptian clover (combined across the two seasons).

#### **3.4. Economic evaluation**

Results in Table (5& 6) revealed that the economic returns for applying different rates and time of phosphorus application and the interaction between them. The total revenue and net return values for different rates of phosphorus application ranged from (33849 and 22489 L.E.) for A1 treatment to (36931.5 and 25411.5 L.E.) for A3 treatment, respectively. Meanwhile, the total revenue and net return values for time of phosphorus application ranged from (34915.5 and 23475.5 L.E.) to (36144 and 24704 L.E.) for B3 and B2 treatments, respectively (Table 5). These results are in harmony with those reported by Satpal *et al.*, (2020). For the total revenue and net return values to interaction ranged from (33025.5 and 21665.5 L.E.) to (37705.5 and 26185.5 L.E.), respectively. Data reveal that the maximum total revenue (37705.5 L.E.), net return (26185.5 L.E.) and net return per one invested (2.27 L.E.) was mine for A3 with B2 treatment followed by A3 with B1 (36657 L.E total revenue), (25137 L.E. net return) and (2.18 L.E. net return per one invested). On the other hand, the minimum total revenue, net returns and net return per one invested was (33025.5, 21665.5 and 1.91 L.E.), respectively recorded by A1 with B3 (Table 6).Similar results were obtained by Kumawat and Khinchi (2017) and Akram *et al.*, (2022).

A1=100 kg fed <sup>-1</sup> superphosphate A2=150 kg fed <sup>-1</sup> superphosphate A3=200 kg fed <sup>-1</sup> superphosphate B1= one dose before planting B2 = two equal doses before planting and the second before first irrigation B3= two equal doses one before first irrigation and the second before second irrigation.

Table 5: 1	Estimates	of costs	for inputs	farm o	perations	and	economic	return	of Egyptian	clover as
a	affected by	rates and	d dates of p	ohosphoi	us applica	ation	s (combine	ed acro	ss the two se	asons).

			Treatr	nents		
Costs of production inputs	A1	A2	A3	B1	B2	B3
Land preparation						
Tillage	600	600	600	600	600	600
Planting	300	300	300	300	300	300
Seeds	600	600	600	600	600	600
Irrigation	500	500	500	500	500	500
Land rent	8000	8000	8000	8000	8000	8000
Mineral fertilizers						
Urea (46.5 % N)	300	300	300	300	300	300
Superphosphate (15.5 % P <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub> )	160	240	320	240	240	240
Hoeing and weeding	300	300	300	300	300	300
Harvesting	600	600	600	600	600	600
Total variable cost	11360	11440	11520	11440	11440	11440
Price ton <sup>-1</sup> (Total fresh yield)	450	450	450	450	450	450
Yield ton fad <sup>-1</sup>	75.22	79.51	82.07	78.89	80.32	77.59
Total revenue	33849	35779.5	36931.5	35500.5	36144	34915.5
Net return	22489	24339.5	25411.5	24060.5	24704	23475.5
Return of invested L. E.	2.98	3.13	3.21	3.10	3.16	3.05
Net return of invested L. E.	1.98	2.13	2.21	2.10	2.16	2.05

A1= 100 kg fed <sup>-1</sup> superphosphate A2= 150 kg fed <sup>-1</sup> superphosphate A3= 200 kg fed <sup>-1</sup> superphosphate B1= one dose before planting B2 = two equal doses before planting and the second before first irrigation. B3= two equal doses one before first irrigation and the second before second irrigation. Net return (L.E. fad -1) = Total revenue- Total variable costs Return of invested L. E. = Total revenue/Total variable costs Net return of invested L. E. = Return of invested L.E.-1

**Table 6:** Estimates of costs for inputs farm operations and economic return of Egyptian clover as affected by interaction among rates and dates of phosphorus applications (combined across the two seasons).

				]	Interaction	l			
Costs of production inputs	A1XB1	A1XB2	A1XB3	A2XB1	A2XB2	A2XB3	A3XB1	A3XB2	A3XB3
Land preparation									
Tillage	600	600	600	600	600	600	600	600	600
Planting	300	300	300	300	300	300	300	300	300
Seeds	600	600	600	600	600	600	600	600	600
Irrigation	500	500	500	500	500	500	500	500	500
Land rent	8000	8000	8000	8000	8000	8000	8000	8000	8000
Mineral fertilizers									
Urea (46.5 % N)	300	300	300	300	300	300	300	300	300
Superphosphate (15.5 % P <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub> )	160	160	160	240	240	240	320	320	320
Hoeing and weeding	300	300	300	300	300	300	300	300	300
Harvesting	600	600	600	600	600	600	600	600	600
Total variable cost	11360	11360	11360	11440	11440	11440	11520	11520	11520
Price ton <sup>-1</sup> (Total fresh yield)	450	450	450	450	450	450	450	450	450
Yield ton fad <sup>-1</sup>	75.61	76.67	73.39	79.61	80.51	78.41	81.46	83.79	80.96
Total revenue	34024.5	34501.5	33025.5	35824.5	36229.5	35284.5	36657	37705.5	36432
Net return	22664.5	23141.5	21665.5	24384.5	24789.5	23844.5	25137	26185.5	24912
Return of invested L. E.	3.00	3.04	2.91	3.13	3.17	3.08	3.18	3.27	3.16
Net return of invested L. E.	2.00	2.04	1.91	2.13	2.17	2.08	2.18	2.27	2.16

 $A1=100 \text{ kg fed}^{-1} \text{ superphosphate } A2=150 \text{ kg fed}^{-1} \text{ superphosphate } A3=200 \text{ kg fed}^{-1} \text{ superphosphate } B1= \text{ one dose before planting } B2 = two equal doses before planting and the second before first irrigation B3= two equal doses one before first irrigation and the second before second irrigation. Net return (L.E. fad -1) = Total revenue- Total variable costs Return of invested L. E. = Total revenue/Total variable costs Net return of invested L. E. = Return of invested L.$ 

## 4. Conclusion

Based on the indications of results, Egyptian clover responded to applying different rates and dates of phosphorus application and the interaction between them. In case of phosphorus rates, A3 treatment (200 kg fed<sup>-1</sup> superphosphate) found to be the optimum dose for better growth parameters, yield, quality and economic values of Egyptian clover but the difference between 150 kg fed<sup>-1</sup> and 200 kg fed<sup>-1</sup> superphosphate rates not reach to a large extent, so 150 kg fed<sup>-1</sup> treatment could be used to provide fertilizer. For the time of phosphorus application, B2 treatment (two equal doses before planting and the second before first irrigation) produced highest significant for all traits under study. Thus, it is recommended to use this application. Generally, it could be stated that to increase forage production and its quality of Egyptian clover, 150 kg fed<sup>-1</sup> superphosphate with two equal doses before planting and the second before first irrigation under clay soil conditions.

## 5. Acknowledgment

The authors wish to express their sincere appreciation to staff of the Department of Economics, Central laboratory for statistical analysis for great effort and helpful assistance in the Economic study.

## References

- ACSAD, 2008. Development program for feed sources in Arab countries; Feed Budget in Egypt, Arab Republic of, Damascus 2008. (In Arabic).
- Adams, R.S., J.H. Moore, E.M. Kesler and G.Z. Stevens, 1964. New relationships for estimating TDN content of forages from chemical composition. J.D. Dairy Sci., 47: 1461.Agric. (27): 436-441.
- Akram, M.I., L.H. Akhtar, R. Minhas, M. Zubair, M.S.J. Bukhari, R. Ullah, M. Ikhlaq, S. Hussain, M.Z. Aslam, B. Ali, A. Hussain and S. Nisar, 2022. Enhancing seed and fodder yield potential of Berseem (*Trifolium alexandrinum* L.) with combined application phosphorous and potassium under irrigated conditions of Bahawalpur, Pakistan. Egypt. J. Agron., 44(1):1-9.
- Aly, M.A., 1989. Effect of some agronomic practices on Egyptian clover (*Trifolium alexandrinum*, L.) Ph D. Thesis, Fac. Agric. Zagazig Univ., Egypt.
- Amanullah, M. and M.W. Khan, 2010. Interactive effects of potassium and phosphorus application on phenology and grain yield of sunflower in Northwest Pakistan. Pedos., 20: 674-680.
- Arredondo, D.L.L., M.A.L. Gonza'lez, S.I.G. Morales, J.L. Bucio and L.H. Estrella, 2014. Phosphate nutrition: improving low-phosphate tolerance in crop. Ann. Rev. Plant Biol., 65: 95–123.
- Ayodele, O.J. and A.A. Oso, 2014. Effect of phosphorus fertilizer sources and application time on grain yield and nutrient composition of cowpea (*Vigna unguiculata* L.). American J. of Experimental Agric., 4(12): 1517-1525.
- Ayub, M., M.A. Nadeem, M. Naeem, M. Tahir, M. Tariq and W. Ahmad, 2012. Effect of different levels of P and K on growth, forage yield and quality of cluster bean (*Cyamopsis tetragonolobusL.*). Journal of Animal and Plant Science, 22: 479-483.
- Ayub, M., S.A. Ali, M. Tahir, S. Tahir, A. Tanveer and M.H. Siddiqui, 2013. Evaluating the role of phosphorus solubilizing bacterial inoculation and phosphorus application on forage yield and quality of cluster bean (*Cyamopsis tetragonoloba* L.). International Journal of Modern Agriculture, 2(1): 26-33.
- Azab, M.M., S.S. Abo Feteh and M.M.M. Tarrad, 2010. Effect of different rates of phosphorus and potassium fertilizers on seed production of two Egyptian clover (Fahl) cultivars. Egypt. J. of Appl. Sci., 25 (4A): 91-101.
- A.O.A.C., 2000. Official Methods of Analysis. 17t<sup>h</sup> Edition, Association of Official Analytical Chemists, Washington DC.
- Bredon, R.M., K.W. Harker and B. Marshall, 1963. The nutritive value of grasses grown in Uganda when feed to zebu cattle. 1- The relation between the percentage of crude protein and other nutrients. J. Agric. Sci., 61: 101-104.
- Chaudhary, M.H., M. Rafiq and A. Akhtar, 1987. Effect of time of phosphorus application onfodder and seed production in berseem. Pakistan Journal of Agricultural Research, 8(3): 270-273.
- Chintapalli, B., S.C. Biyan, P. Dhuppar and D. Sarveshwara Rao, 2012. Studies on the potential of integrated nutrient management for improving the vegetative and reproductive performance of berseem crop. Forage Research, 37(4): 248-250.

- Cottenie, A., M. Verloo, L. Kiekens, G. Veighe and R. Amertynck, 1982. Chemical analysis of plants and soils laboratory of analytical and agro chemistry state. University, Ghent, Belgium. 50-70.
- Devi, U. and S. Satpal, 2019. Performance of berseem (*Trifolium alexandrinum*, L.) genotypes at different phosphorus levels. Forage Res., 44 (4): 260-263.
- El-Nahrawy, M.A.Z., 2005. The vital role of Egyptian clover in agriculture. The 11<sup>th</sup> Conference of Agronomy, Agron. Dept. Fac. Agric. Asut. Univ., Egypt Nov. 15-16: 55-62.
- Gaballah, A.B., 1996. Agronomic studies on forage and seed production of Egyptian clover (*Trifolium alexandrinum*, L.) Ph. D. Thesis, Fac. Agric. Suez Canal Univ. Egypt.
- Gaballah, A.B., 2001. Effect of number of cuts and phosphorus fertilization on seed yield and its components of some Egyptian clover (*Trifolium alexandrinum*, L.) cultivars. Annals of Agric. Sci., Moshtohor, Egypt, 39(1): 25-36.
- Galbiatti, J.A., F.G. Silva, C.F. Franco and A.D. Caramelo, 2011.Desenvolvimento do fejoeiro sob o uso de biofertilizante e a dubaçao mineral. Engenharia Agricola, 31: 167.
- Godara, A. S., Satpal, U. N. Joshi and Yogesh Jindal, 2016.Response of berseem genotypes to different phosphorus levels. Forage Res., 42: 40-43.
- Guinn G., 1984. Potential for improving production efficiency with growth regulators. In. Proceedings of the belt wide cotton production Res. Conference, 67-71.
- Hamid, A. and G. Sarwar, 1977. Effect of method sand time of application on uptake of fertilizer phosphorus by wheat. Experimental Agriculture, 13: 337-340.
- Kulik, M., 2009. Effect of different factors on chemical composition of grass-legumes sward. J. Elementol, 14: 91–100.
- Kumawat, S. M. and V. Khinchi, 2017. Effect of phosphorus levels on forage yield of promising multicut genotypes of berseem (*Trifolium alexandrinum* L.).Forage Research. 43 (3):223-226.
- Mahmooda, T., S. Rashidb, A. Jahangeerc, M. Arshadd, A. Khane, A. Majidf and S. Mustafag, 2018. Effect of Phosphorous and Potash Fertilizer on Green Fodder and Seed Yield of Berseem (Egyptian clover) under Faisalabad Conditions. IJSBAR. 38(2):8-12.
- Marschner, H., 1997. Mineral nutrition of higher plants. Academic Press, London, UK.
- Mohsen, M.K.G.S., H.M.A. El-Santiel, H.M. Gaafar and E.A. El-Beltagi El-Gendy, 2011. Nutritional evaluation of berseem. 4- Effect of phosphorus fertilizer on berseem fed as hay to goats. Researcher, 3(5): 37-42.
- Morsy, A.S.M. and A. Awadalla, 2017. Growth, forage yield and quality of Clitoria (*Clitoria ternatea*) as affected by dates and methods of sowing and phosphorus fertilizer under toshka region condition. Middle East Journal of Agriculture Research. 06 (02):506-518.
- Nadiam, H., A.R. Barzegar, P. Rouzitalab, S.J. Herbert and A.M. Hashemi, 2005. Soil compaction, organic matter and phosphorus addition effects on growth and phosphorus accumulation of clover. Communications in soil Science and Plant Analysis, 36(9/10): 1327-1335.
- Page, A.L., R.H. Miller and D.R. Keeney, 1982. Methods of Soil Analysis. Part 2: Chemical and Microbiological Properties. Soil Sci. Amer., Madison Wisconsin, USA.
- Piper, C.S., 1950. Soil and Plant Analysis. 1<sup>st</sup> Ed. Interscience Puplishers Inc. New York. USA., 30-229.
- Raghothama, K.G., 1999. Phosphat eacquisition. Ann. Rev. Plant Physiol. Plant Mol. Biol., 50: 665–693.
- Read, D.W., E.D. Spratt, L.D. Baily, F.G. Warder and W.S. Ferguson, 1973. Residual value of phosphate fertilizer on chernozemic soils. Canadian Journal of Soil Science, 53: 389-398.
- Roy, D.C., M. Ray, N.K. Tudu and C.K. Kundu, 2015. Impact of phosphate solubilizing bacteria and phosphorus application on forage yield and quality of berseem in west Bengal. International Journal of Agriculture, Environment and Biotechnology, 8(2): 315-321.
- Saeed, B., H. Gul, S. Wahab, Y. Durrani, B. Haleema, M. Ayub, A. Muhammad, A. Said and I. Ahmad, 2011. Effect of phosphorus and potassium on seed production of berseem. African Journal of Biotechnology. 10(63):13769-13772.
- Sainju, U.M., R. Ghimire and G.P. Pradhan, 2019. Nitrogen fertilization I: Impact on crop, soil, and environment. Inte. Ope. 7:1-24.
- Satpal, S., S. Sheoran, J. Tokas and Y. Jindal, 2020. Phosphorus influenced nutritive value, yield and economics of Berseem (*Trifolium alexandrinum* L.) genotypes. Chem. Sci. Rev. Lett., 9 (34): 365-373.

- Seif, A.S. and A.M. Saad, 2014. Nutritive value of some Egyptian clover varieties as affected by various levels of phosphorus fertilization. J. Plant Production, Mansoura Univ., 5 (7): 1331-1344.
- Singh, A., 1979. Berseem fodder and seed production as influenced by number of cuts, sowing dates, phosphate fertilization and micronutrient application. Indian J. Agron., 24 (2): 221-222.
- Singh, B., S.R. Bishnoi and N.S. Randhawa, 1981. Effect of time and level of nitrogen and phosphorus application on the yield of berseem (*Trifolium alexandrinum* L.) fodder and its chemical composition. Journal of Research Punjab Agricultural University. 18(3): 266-273.
- Singh, T., A. Radhakrishna, D.S. Nayak and D.R. Malaviya, 2019. Genetic improvement of Berseem (*Trifolium alexandrinum* L.) in India: Current Status and Prospects. Int. J. Curr. Microbiol. App. Sci., 8: 3028-3036.
- Snedecor, G.W. and W.G. Cochran, 1990. Statical Methods. 8th Edition, Iowa State Univ. Press, Ames.
- Sürmen, M., T. Yavuz and N. Çankaya, 2011. Effects of Phosphorus Fertilization and Harvesting Stages on Forage Yield and Quality of Common Vetch, International Journal of Food, Agriculture & Environment – JFAE, 9(1): 353-355.
- Tongel, O. and S. Albayrak, 2006. Effects of different levels of phosphorus on forage yield and quality of white clover (*Trifolium repens* L.). Asian Journal of Plant Sciences. 5: 201-206.
- Türk, M., S. Albayrak, O. Yüksel, 2007. Effects of phosphorus fertilization and harvesting stages on forage yield and quality of Narbon vetch. New Zealand Journal of Agricultural Research, 50:457-462.
- Türk, M., S. Albayrak and O. Yuksel, 2009. Effects of Fertilisation and Harvesting Stages on Forage Yield and Quality of Hairy Vetch (*Vicia villosa* Roth.). The New Zealand Journal of Agricultural Research, 52: 269-275.
- Virender-Sardana and S.S. Narwal, 1999. Effect of seed inoculation, nitrogen and phosphorus on the fodder and seed yields of Egyptian clover (*Trifolium alexandrinum*, L.). Indian J. of Agron., 44 (3): 639-646.
- Wissuwa, M., M. Mazzola and C. Picard, 2009. Novel approaches in plant breeding for rhizosphere related traits. Plant Soil, 321: 409-430.